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1. Executive Summary

Under future climate scenarios, the Estuary Program will continue to work toward achieving our watershed goals (as stated in the CCMP) of water quality protection and enhancement, ecosystem restoration and conservation, fostering collaboration and public education, outreach, and stewardship. Although these goals will remain the same, the resulting conditions will be altered by climate change. For example, our work to improve water quality will continue to focus on water quality that supports diverse habitats and wildlife populations. However, the components of such habitats and diversity will be affected by climate change. Different species and habitats will compose the diversity in the watershed. Results include estimates of climate change at the watershed scale and predictions of hydrologic and ecosystem shifts in response to such change. Climate change effects and their corresponding likelihoods can be found throughout section 3 and a summary of climate model outputs can be found in section 2.6.4.

A more detailed discussion of how the goal conditions may change and a summary of action plan adjustments will be presented in a companion document, “Adapting the CCMP for Climate,” to be completed in FY16.

All climate change models agree that the Morro Bay climate will become drier and warmer in the future. These predictions are the most certain; all other predictions rely on assumptions of the interactions these changes will have on local climate factors. That being said, the Estuary Program must prepare for both a “warmer wetter” and “warmer drier” climate with more intense droughts. Effects from these possible scenarios include warmer surface and water temperatures, drier conditions, more intense storms, and sea level rise. These changes pose significant risks to the Estuary Program’s goals and their ability to protect and enhance the local ecosystems.

Experts and Estuary Program staff collaborated to hypothesize possible impacts from climate change on local ecosystems and hydrologic processes. These stressors were then sorted by their individual likelihood and the consequences of their impact. Through this analysis, effects that pose the greatest risk to the Estuary Program were determined to be those with the highest likelihood of occurring and the most severe consequences. High and moderate priority climate change effects were addressed within a list of possible mitigation efforts. However, each effort was analyzed for the feasibility of its implementation and only a select few were chosen for the future adaptation action plan.

As climate change progresses and impacts are better understood, the adaptation plan will be updated to efficiently use Estuary Program resources. Monitoring and review of this document will occur every five years to ensure that predictions and impacts are up to date with current trends and stressors. The Estuary
Program is also currently engaged in an effort to prioritize conservation actions in the watershed on the basis of protecting and improving water quality and conserving biodiversity. The effort will result in a Conservation Companion to the CCMP including areas prioritized for conservation. The climate vulnerability assessment will inform this analysis as conservation projects are developed.
2. Introduction

Located along the Central Coast of California, the Morro Bay watershed experiences a Mediterranean climate with dry summers and winters punctuated by sporadic storms. The watershed drains into the Morro Bay estuary, a 2,300 acre semi-enclosed body of water that is recognized as an estuary of both state and national significance. The watershed encompasses a total area of 75 square miles and is divided into two main subwatersheds, Chorro Valley and Los Osos Valley. About 60 percent of the total land area of the watershed resides in the Chorro Valley.

Land use for the Morro Bay watershed includes mostly open space used for cattle grazing, agriculture, and a range of public uses. Some of these public uses include parks, golf courses, nature preserves, a military base, and rangeland owned by California Polytechnic State University (Cal Poly). Some developed areas in the watershed include Cuesta College and the California Men’s Colony (CMC). However, the densest developed areas surround the bay in the communities of Morro Bay and Los Osos.

Over the past century, the bay has been significantly altered to accommodate human needs. In the 1940s the US Army Corps of Engineers was instructed by the US Navy to reinforce the causeway connecting the Embarcadero to Morro Rock, install revetment between Tidelands Park and Coleman road along the Embarcadero, construct the north and south jetty breakwaters, and dredge to deepen the main navigation channel. Post-project construction also included a stone groin within the harbor mouth to control littoral sand transportation at the north end of the sand spit. Later in the 1950s, a power plant was constructed near the harbor mouth that used water from the estuary in its cooling towers. It was decommissioned in 2013.

During the 20th century, the community of Los Osos began to expand and develop where coastal dune habitat existed along the south end of the bay. Also during this time, the US Navy harbor improvements were converted to civilian uses, allowing for the communities and tourism industry to thrive in the area. In the upper watershed, mines were opened up to extract chromium and nickel, and oak savannah and scrub areas were converted into grassland. Other areas used for agricultural production were cleared and leveed around the creeks and disconnected from their floodplains. Each of these activities has contributed to accelerated erosion and sedimentation in the watershed and bay. Efforts have been made throughout the area to remedy some of these impacts, including some projects headed by the Estuary Program. Significant portions of the watershed are now preserved through conservation easements or publicly owned open space.
Even though the historic ecosystem and habitat processes of the Morro Bay estuary and watershed have been altered, it remains one of the least-disturbed wetland systems on the Southern and Central California Coast. It serves as a vital stopover and wintering ground for many migratory birds in the Pacific Flyway. The estuary environment encompasses the lower reaches of Chorro and Los Osos creeks, a variety of wetlands, salt and freshwater marshes, intertidal mudflats, eelgrass beds, and other subtidal habitats.

The significance of these types of habitats and the necessity of protecting them led to the enactment of the National Estuary Program amendment to the Clean Water Act in 1987. The amendment allowed for the creation and funding of estuary programs focused on water quality and the integrity of the entire estuarine system. In 1995, The Morro Bay National Estuary Program was inducted into the ranks of 27 other estuary programs within the United States, including two others in California.
2.1 Project Scope

The Climate Vulnerability Assessment for the Morro Bay estuary presents an analysis of the likelihood and severity of climate change effects on the goals of the Estuary Program, as well as an adaptation action plan to best prepare for such effects. The assessment is designed to inform how the Estuary Program will address climate-related impacts in the future, and reduce the risks these impacts present to attaining their program goals. Impacts from climate change focus on the alteration of the many processes within the Morro Bay estuary and watershed. Analysis includes the use of climate change models, historic data, and local expertise in the prioritization of impacts and their subsequent adaptation plans.
2.2 Morro Bay National Estuary Program Goals

1. Water Quality Protection and Enhancement
   - Priority issues:
     - Accelerated sedimentation
     - Bacterial contamination
     - Elevated nutrient levels
     - Toxic pollutants
     - Scarce freshwater resources
     - Biodiversity
     - Environmentally-balanced use

2. Ecosystem Restoration and Conservation
   - Protect and increase ecosystem resilience, connectivity, diversity, function, and economy.
   - Biodiversity effects

3. Public Education, Outreach, and Stewardship
   - This goal will not be significantly affected by climate change. Further education on better water management and flood and landslide hazards.

4. Foster Collaboration with Other Agencies
   - Climate change will require increased coordination of agencies and focusing of resources towards understanding climate change impacts and mitigating their associated effects.

Figure 3: Estuary Program goals.
2.3 Current Conditions and Actions Being Taken

Although the Morro Bay estuary and watershed remains one of the least-disturbed wetland systems in California, valuable ecosystem functions and natural resources have become endangered by the changes made to stream and bay functions over time. The current state of these stressors on the Morro Bay National Estuary Program’s goals, and the action being taken to achieve those goals, are listed in the sections below. Much of the water quality information for the estuary and watershed has been obtained by the Volunteer Monitoring program run by the Estuary Program. This program has been essential in establishing pollutant information, prioritizing restoration efforts, and improving knowledge of the estuary, the watershed, and their associated functions.

2.3.1 Accelerated Sedimentation

The accumulation of sediment in estuaries naturally occurs over thousands of years and may eventually result in the estuary filling in. Sources of sediment to the Morro Bay estuary include: creeks draining the watershed, stormwater runoff over land, ocean currents carrying sand through the harbor entrance, and prevailing winds and ocean currents altering the morphology of the sandspit. In Morro Bay, these natural processes have been accelerated by activities in the watershed that contribute additional sediment to the flow entering the estuary from creeks and stormwater runoff.

At the time of the 2001 CCMP, local studies and modeling efforts estimated that accelerated sedimentation would cause the estuary to fill in within a few hundred years (TetraTech Inc. 1998). Other observed changes, including rise of the bottom of Chorro Creek at South Bay Boulevard and the increase in salt marsh habitat at the confluence of Chorro Creek and Los Osos Creek, provided additional evidence for accelerated sedimentation. Since the completion of these studies, a more nuanced and complex picture of sedimentation has emerged. It is now understood that the majority of sedimentation occurs during episodic storm events. The impact of these events varies greatly, depending on the storm intensity and how saturated the ground is prior to any particular storm. Annual rates of sediment accretion observed in the bay, although difficult to quantify over short time frames, appear to be relatively low. However, catastrophic storm events can contribute vast amounts of sediment to the bay in a very short period of time. This new knowledge is based in part on an ongoing effort by the Estuary Program to track the rate of sediment accretion at various locations in the bay. This data set, ongoing since 2004, shows a variable rate of accretion throughout the bay. Locations in the southern most portion of the bay, near Shark Inlet, and areas of the salt marsh just south of the Morro Bay State Park marina, show greater accretion rates than other areas (Estuary Program 2011). Another monitoring effort uses suspended sediment concentrations in creek flow to model sediment loads delivered to the bay. Since this project began in
2008, the results have demonstrated the immense variability in sediment load from year to year (Estuary Program 2011). This variability is mainly due to fluctuations in the frequency and intensity of storm events.

Sediment deposits in the estuary from creeks and stormwater runoff occur through the natural process of erosion. However, a variety of land uses can exacerbate erosion. Urban development increases the amount of impervious surfaces in the watershed, reducing the ability of the ground to absorb rainfall and increasing stormwater volumes and velocities. Certain grazing and cultivation practices can reduce or eliminate ground cover, making hillsides and fields more vulnerable to soil loss. An especially significant issue has been wildfires, which leave barren hillsides prone to erosion when storm events occur. Alterations in the natural landscape and the spread of nonnative vegetation can increase the intensity of wildfires. In the mid-1990s, the combination of an intense wildfire that scorched a significant portion of the upper watershed and strong El Niño rainstorms the following winter resulted in a tremendous amount of sediment flowing to the bay, with significant impacts on eelgrass beds, oyster farms, and the bay’s form and volume (Estuary Program 2001).

In addition to input from creeks and stormwater runoff, ocean currents also add sediment to the estuary, primarily at the harbor mouth and in the main navigation channel. For this reason, the harbor entrance is maintained with regular dredging to ensure the safety of navigation. Whether sand from the sandspit is contributing to sedimentation is not well understood. Considering that this source is a natural process, the management issue of concern is to minimize erosion on the sandspit from plant removal and human use, while maintaining healthy native habitats on the spit.

Due to the conditions described, Morro Bay, Los Osos, and Chorro creeks are listed as impaired waters under the federal Clean Water Act Section 303(d) for sediment. The Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) has established total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for sediment. Estimates of the relative contributions of the two major subwatersheds suggest that about 80 percent of the stream-borne sediment comes from the Chorro Valley (Tetra Tech Inc. 1998).

Sedimentation affects the habitat value of the estuary. As the bay fills, rare coastal wetlands are lost to terrestrial habitats. Shallow water results in increased temperatures and reduces circulation, adversely affecting water quality and habitat richness. Sediment can impact eelgrass through depth changes, reduction of light penetration, and direct siltation on top of eelgrass. Sediment also degrades habitat for freshwater species, including the red-legged frog and southwestern pond turtle.
The potential loss of bay volume affects commercial and recreational boating navigation. The main channels must be dredged regularly due to sediment accumulation. The State Park Marina has become inaccessible during low tides in the past.

Reduced open water area could also affect the recreational values of the bay, limiting such activities as fishing and boating. Reduction in the estuary’s recreational potential may adversely affect bay-related tourism. Sediment can also interfere with the commercial cultivation of oysters.

Upstream from the bay, erosion adversely impacts agricultural land by reducing acreage suitable for cultivation, and through the loss of topsoil that is essential to intensive farming. In streams, silt reduces the quality of spawning habitat for steelhead and can impede steelhead migration during high-flow events. Biodiversity and general habitat quality can also be reduced by excessive sediment.

Much effort has been directed to addressing the problem of sedimentation. One approach often discussed would be to dredge the bay or to alter the channels to facilitate improved tidal flushing. The Estuary Program and the US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) conducted a large-scale analysis of these in-bay solutions, including assessing the possibility of opening the south end of the sandspit to the ocean or restoring the bay’s natural communication with the sea near Morro Rock (ACOE 2007). Another specific option was to re-route the mouth of Chorro Creek that had shifted southward in the 1990s from its previous course nearer to the State Park Marina. All of these options were deemed infeasible due their extremely high cost, significant environmental impacts, regulatory impediments, and other concerns.

A variety of best management practices and restoration techniques can be implemented to reduce erosion. The Estuary Program and its partners concluded that the most feasible ways to address sedimentation are by reducing erosion in the watershed and capturing sediment upstream of the bay through various methods, including the restoration of floodplains. These methods have been the focus of the Estuary Program and its partners. The Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District (CSLRCD) spearheaded “Project Clearwater,” funded in part through the Estuary Program, which improved land management practices on private farms and ranches to reduce erosion. The CSLRCD estimated that these efforts prevented thousands of tons of sediment from reaching the bay (CSLRCD 2009). Examples of other projects that have been undertaken during the last 10 years include: riparian corridor restoration along Walters and Chumash creeks, over 11 miles of riparian fencing, riparian revegetation, remediation of mines that are out of commission, and rural road improvement projects.

Another important project was the Chorro Flats Enhancement Project that opened levees along Chorro Creek, allowing the stream to access its natural floodplain. The CSLRCD estimates that approximately
198,000 cubic yards of sediment had been captured by this project as of January 2001, with the site expected to reach its capacity 35 years from that time (CSLRC 2002). Another floodplain restoration project is managed by the CSLRC on Los Osos Creek.

2.3.2 Bacterial Contamination

Bacteria levels in Morro Bay have significant impacts on recreation, the economy, and the ecosystem. Elevated levels are frequently detected in the bay and watershed and have led to the Section 303(d) listing for pathogen impairment for the Morro Bay estuary, Chorro Creek, Los Osos Creek, Diary Creek, and Warden Creek. All of these waterbodies, except for Dairy Creek, have associated TMDLs produced by the Water Board.

Contributors of bacteria to the watershed and estuary include point and nonpoint sources: urban runoff, agricultural runoff, improper boat waste disposal, domestic and wild animal waste, and septic systems (CCRWQCB 2002). A study of E. coli strains in the estuary quantified four main sources of this bacteria type: birds (22%), humans (17%), bovine (14%), and dogs (9%) (Kitts et al. 2002). Bacteria levels originating from urban runoff, agricultural runoff, and animal waste enter the creeks and bays primarily during rainfall events. The amount of bacteria brought into the bay and watershed is dependent upon the intensity and total rainfall during each storm event. Each subwatershed, however, contributes different amounts of bacteria to the bay, with significantly more coming from the Chorro Valley watershed (Tetra Tech Inc. 1999). This may reflect the larger drainage area that contributes to Chorro Creek relative to Los Osos Creek.

Elevated bacteria levels impact much of the local economy and ecosystem in the bay. Oyster farms are reliant on good water quality and are unable to operate when bacteria levels are too high. Regulations do not allow oyster harvesting after any storms exceeding 0.4 inches within a 24 hour period. The recreation and tourism industry rely on clean waters to ensure safe swimming, and marine wildlife can also be negatively affected—including iconic Morro Bay species, such as sea otters and sea lions (Jessup et al. 2004).

According to bacteria monitoring data, six out of the ten creek sampling sites from 2008–2014 and 20% of the samples taken in the southern portion of the bay, near Los Osos, exceeded the level of concern for bacteria (MBWQR 2014). Results for stream bacteria samples are shown in figure 4, below.
Figure 4: A geometric mean, unlike an arithmetic mean, tends to dampen the effect of very high or low values, which might bias the mean if a straight average (arithmetic mean) were calculated. This is helpful when analyzing bacteria concentrations, because levels may vary anywhere from 10 to 10,000 fold over a given period. Data is from the Morro Bay Water Quality Report, 2014.

Many actions have been taken to reduce bacterial contamination in the area. The California Men’s Colony (CMC) upgraded their wastewater treatment plant in 2007 to tertiary treatment and recently eliminated chlorine in its discharge by converting to a UV-based treatment system. The CMC treatment plant contributes effluent water to Upper Chorro Creek. Los Osos and Baywood Park are also removing most of their septic systems in place of a new wastewater treatment plant, which is set to come online in 2016. This will help reduce the possibility of septic failures and any seepage that may be occurring. Best management practices are constantly being improved throughout the watershed; they include riparian fencing along rangeland, off-creek water sources for grazing operations, and pasture management. Over 11 miles of riparian fencing has been installed in the last ten years alone. Better public education about pet waste and the installation of pet waste bag dispensers eliminates around 200,000 bags of waste each year (CCMP 2012). Another outreach effort includes the Estuary Program staff working in partnership with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (DFW), State Parks, and the City of Morro Bay Harbor Department to educate the public about the proper disposal of boating waste.
2.3.3 Elevated Nutrient Levels

Nutrient enrichment in the bay and watershed can have a domino effect on water quality. The primary nutrient of concern for Morro Bay are nitrates, but phosphates are also a concern. Elevated levels of these nutrients can facilitate algae blooms that consume dissolved oxygen (DO) and can continue to consume oxygen as they decompose. Thus, high nutrient levels are linked to the low levels of DO. Appropriate levels of DO are necessary to support aquatic life, such as fish and invertebrates. Objectives for DO concentrations are outlined in the Water Board’s Central Coast Basin Plan (Water Board 2011).

Algal blooms and low DO levels have been regularly observed in Morro Bay, typically in the southern portion of the bay and during fall and summer, when conditions are driest. Elevated nutrients, warmer water temperatures, and poor circulation have all been attributed to this reoccurring problem. Chorro Creek, Los Osos Creek, Warden Creek, and Warden Lake are listed as nutrient impaired waterbodies by Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act. These waterbodies are also subject to TMDLs adopted by the Water Board. Chorro Creek is also listed for dissolved oxygen impairment. Figures 5 and 6, below, show Volunteer Monitoring Program (VMP) data on DO levels in the bay and Chorro Creek.

![Graph displaying DO levels throughout the bay](image)

Figure 5: Graph displays DO levels throughout the bay. Data is from the Morro Bay Water Quality Report, 2014.
Sources of nutrients in the Morro Bay watershed include wastewater effluent from CMC, crop and rangeland runoff, and natural background concentrations from biological activity (Water Board 2005; Water Board 2007). Nutrient pollution can come from fertilizers, animal waste, and warmer water temperatures. Creeks that do not have adequate vegetative shading may have increased surface water temperatures that cause the water column to stratify and reduce circulation. Less circulation can reduce DO levels and may be further impacted if elevated nutrient levels lead to algae blooms.

To combat nutrient pollution in the watershed, the CMC wastewater treatment plant was upgraded in 2007 to include tertiary treatment and reduce nitrates in its effluent. Projects to increase riparian vegetation along Chorro Creek and its tributaries have also been implemented to increase circulation and dissolved oxygen. Methods for these projects include riparian fencing, revegetation of stream corridors, and restoration of highly degraded stream sections. Other actions include outreach and education by the Estuary Program and its partners about proper fertilizer use on agricultural and urban landscapes and ways to keep pollutants out of stormwater drains.
2.3.4 Toxic Pollutants

Toxic pollutants include pesticides, organic compounds, heavy metals, and a variety of other chemical compounds. The upper Chorro Creek has historically been mined for nickel and chromium, which occur naturally, but can be toxic in high concentrations. When the CCMP was approved for the Morro Bay National Estuary Program in 2001, Chorro Creek was 303(d)-listed by the Water Board for heavy metal impairment. Subsequent analysis, however, observed that the levels for these elements in the watershed did not pose a threat to people or wildlife, and Chorro Creek was delisted.

Other non-natural toxic pollutants continue to impact the water and habitat quality of the bay and watershed. The primary input of these pollutants comes from nonpoint source pollution from stormwater runoff. Sources of toxics include household and agricultural pesticides, detergents and soaps, oils and lubricants from street drainage, and household or commercial cleaning products. Other potential sources are antifouling paints and other chemicals used for boat maintenance, as well as illegal dumping or fuel spills in the harbor. Recent studies have suggested that there are many more toxics that are unregulated, with regards to water quality, and may have unknown environmental impacts. Such contaminants represent a growing area of water-quality research that may provide insight in the future.

Toxic pollutants can accumulate in sediments and impact water quality when disturbed. Many species that spend their life cycles in sediment, like oysters and shellfish, are most impacted by these chemicals as they have the most direct contact with them. However, other species that feed on sediment-dwelling aquatic life may eventually suffer from bioaccumulation. These effects are not well understood at this time.

Currently, no elevated toxic pollutant levels have been found in the bay or watershed. NOAA and the State Mussel Watch Program indicated that metal and toxic concentrations are not present in Morro Bay’s shellfish population at levels of concern. However, limited research results have documented the possibility of elevated heavy metal concentrations in bay sediments (Pehaim 2004). Research is still being conducted, and the understanding of these pollutants will likely change in the future.

State law and county regulations closely control the application of agricultural pesticides. Municipalities and other dischargers of stormwater and wastewater must comply with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits from the Water Board. Construction projects require a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that details how runoff will be minimized and monitored. The Estuary Program has shared data and technical knowledge to support local partners in meeting NPDES and SWPPP requirements.
Efforts to reduce toxic pollutants from urban runoff and the boating community have centered on education and outreach projects. The Estuary Program has disseminated information about proper use and disposal of toxic materials. The City of Morro Bay has also installed additional hazardous waste disposal facilities for boaters.

The Estuary Program, City of Morro Bay Harbor Department, State Parks, and California Department of Fish and Wildlife have completed a number of cooperative efforts to remove illegal moorings and abandoned vessels in the bay. These efforts reduced potential pollution sources and also provided aesthetic and safety benefits.

2.3.5 Competition for Scarce Freshwater Resources

Freshwater is critical to the health of the estuary. Estuarine habitats such as saltwater marshes require regular inflows of freshwater to function properly. Creeks must have adequate flows to provide habitat for a variety of water-dependent plants and animals and to accommodate steelhead passage. Freshwater is also critical for the wide variety of land uses in the watershed, including farming, ranching, and urban communities. Competition among domestic, agricultural, and environmental uses for scarce freshwater resources is a priority issue in the Morro Bay watershed. The watershed’s Mediterranean climate and variable precipitation patterns (both seasonally and from year to year) limit the amount of freshwater that enters the system. Creek flow naturally diminishes in the summer and autumn due to low rainfall during these times and shallow wells drawn for agriculture and domestic use can directly affect creek flow (particularly in the Chorro Creek watershed). Parts of Chorro Creek are fully appropriated (as regulated by the State Water Resources Control Board), indicating strong competition for scarce freshwater resources in this area. Groundwater resources are also impacted in the watershed. The Los Osos upper aquifer is impacted by nitrates and the lower aquifer is exhibiting signs of salt water intrusion. Morro Bay’s municipal groundwater wells are also contaminated with nitrates. More information on freshwater resource uses in the Morro Bay watershed is presented in the Freshwater Flow section of Chapter 3.

Additional freshwater is contributed to the system from treated effluent discharged to Chorro Creek from the CMC wastewater treatment plant. CMC is required, by their NPDES permit, to discharge, at a minimum, continuous flow of 0.75 cfs (cubic feet per second) for the benefit of aquatic resources, such as steelhead.

Reductions to freshwater flows in the watershed have a direct impact on a wide variety of beneficial uses. As noted above, freshwater is a critical element of several rare habitat types. In addition, reduced flows can impede the migration and spawning of steelhead; low flows that contribute to higher water temperatures can directly affect the viability of steelhead. Freshwater is essential to other special-status
species found in the watershed, including the red-legged frog and southwestern pond turtle. Groundwater provides domestic water to users throughout the watershed, but it is especially essential to Los Osos and Baywood as the sole source of drinking water. The City of Morro Bay also uses wells in the lower Chorro Valley when its primary source, imported state water, is unavailable. In both watersheds, groundwater is used for crop irrigation and to provide water for cattle.

The Water Board regulates surface water rights and issues permits for allowable withdrawals in the watershed. The groundwater basins in the watershed have been extensively studied and the Los Osos groundwater basin is the subject of an Interlocutory Stipulated Judgment (ISJ). The ISJ requires all of the water purveyors in Los Osos to develop a basin management plan to manage withdrawals. As of the writing of this document, the ISJ process is still ongoing. The County Master Water Plan addresses water resource issues in the county and specifies management approaches. The Estuary Program has focused its efforts on encouraging water conservation practices in the watershed with a wide variety of partners and supporting integrated water management approaches.

2.3.6 Enhancing Biodiversity to Maintain Habitat and Ecosystem Function

Biodiversity is “the variety of life and its processes; and it includes the variety of living organisms, the genetic differences among them, and the communities and ecosystems in which they occur” (Keystone Center 1991; California Biodiversity Council 2008). The rich biodiversity found in the Morro Bay watershed and estuary is critical to the ecosystem’s ability to continue providing important functions, such as habitat for critical species, flood protection, and water filtration. Rich biodiversity strengthens the environment’s resilience in the face of future change, including altered precipitation patterns and temperature gradients due to climate change. Citizens and scientists alike have expressed concern over species and habitat loss in the watershed over the last twenty years (both recognized as priority issues in the 2001 CCMP), and preserving biodiversity can address both of these concerns. By taking the more holistic approach of emphasizing biodiversity, the Estuary Program anticipates more effective and long-lasting conservation results. The core conservation issues to be addressed in the Morro Bay watershed in order to preserve biodiversity include: preventing habitat degradation, improving and preserving the ecosystem’s ability to be resilient to and adapt to changing conditions, protecting and expanding migration corridors, and maintaining ecological connections between habitats to protect important ecosystem functions. Biodiversity comprises many habitats, species, and ecosystem processes in the Morro Bay watershed—wetlands, marshes, mudflats, eelgrass beds, maritime chaparral, riparian canopies, oak woodlands, 15 federally listed species, many endemic species, and the numerous ecosystem processes that support these habitats, species, and important human uses. Habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation all can negatively impact diversity. Most of these causes occur through land uses that alter
the natural landscape, such as urban development and agriculture. Invasive species can also decrease biodiversity by outcompeting native species for habitat and resources. Climate change is likely to impact biodiversity and related ecosystem functions, but the exact consequences are difficult to predict. Poor water quality, pollution, and competition for natural resources also affect biodiversity.

Several habitat types that have survived in and around Morro Bay—brackish wetlands, salt marsh, mud flats, eelgrass beds, coastal dunes complexes, and maritime chaparral—are quite rare in southern and central California. They constitute remnants of a natural world that has been lost in more populated and developed areas. Numerous special status species depend on these habitats. Healthy habitats are also critical to shellfish farming and to recreational and commercial fishing. Morro Bay is renowned for its natural beauty, including its abundance of fish, waterfowl, and marine mammals. These factors form the base of the local recreation and tourist economy and are at risk when biodiversity is threatened.

Land use planning and other policy strategies have provided some buffer to increased development pressure on biodiversity in the watershed. The entire estuary and large portions of the watershed fall under the jurisdiction of the California Coastal Commission, and both the City of Morro Bay and County of San Luis Obispo have Local Coastal Plans and other planning regulations that stipulate protections for native habitats and species. Habitat preservation through land acquisition and conservation easements has also helped protect biodiversity. In many cases, acquisitions resulted in the protection of special habitats or species. In other cases, acquisitions helped form greenbelts around the developed communities of Los Osos and Morro Bay to provide clear boundaries between urban growth and open space. Since the adoption of the 2001 CCMP, thousands of acres of land around the bay and in the watershed have been acquired or placed in conservation easements. In addition to preservation, the Estuary Program and its partners have restored many areas of previously degraded habitat. Several miles of riparian corridors and hundreds of acres of land have been enhanced through these efforts. The implementation of best management practices to improve land stewardship has also supported the conservation of biodiversity (see the Best Management Practices section of Chapter 3 for more information). Work to improve water quality, such as what is described in previous sections of this chapter, benefits biodiversity. Finally, many education and outreach efforts in the watershed have increased awareness of important habitats and species and how to reduce impacts to them when people are recreating or engaging in other uses in the watershed.
2.3.7 Environmentally Balanced Uses

Many uses in the watershed and estuary depend on local natural resources—shellfish farming, commercial fishing, farming, ranching, tourism, and water-based recreational activities are just some examples. Although many of these uses were discussed in the 2001 CCMP, the Estuary Program now recognizes the priority issue inherent in the challenge of balancing important economic and social uses with the needs of the ecosystem. Many important human uses necessarily have some impact on natural resources. Agriculture, ranching, and urban development require changes to the natural landscape and produce stormwater runoff. Water-cooled energy generation impacts aquatic life. Recreational activities in the bay may scare wildlife or impact habitats. All of these uses are also integral to the economy and the quality of life people experience in the watershed. The challenge facing the local community is how to balance these uses with the needs of the ecosystem in a manner that preserves those important economic and social qualities.

Each of these activities is itself a beneficial use. They can be the cause of impacts to other beneficial uses if they adversely affect important environmental values. Urban development, for example, has occurred on a number of important habitat types, such as coastal dune scrub and marshes. Current development plans and regulations at the state, county, and municipal level now require mitigation of the loss of important habitats. Not only can certain uses result in environmental impacts, but they can also impact each other. For example, stormwater runoff from a variety of land uses can degrade water quality that is essential for shellfish farming operations and recreational activities. Recreational activities such as kayaking and paddle boarding can scare away wildlife that bird watchers enjoy.

2.4 Climate Change Models

Globally, climate change is occurring at an unprecedented rate. Each year, the total carbon produced globally increases by 2.2% and recently surpassed 49 gigatons of carbon in 2010, almost doubling the 1970 emissions (IPCC 2014). This increase in carbon gas alters the atmospheric chemistry and creates a cascade effect from the trapping of heat. The IPCC reports that more than half of the global temperature increases over the last 50 years are human-caused, and since 1960 the global atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration has increased from 290 to 400 ppm (IPCC 2014). As a result of this, average global temperatures are expected to increase by between 2°F and 11.5°F by 2100 (EPA 2015). As carbon emissions continue to increase and warm the planet, the global climate will become more variable, especially on a regional scale.
Climate change will create warmer annual temperatures across the globe. This will lead to increasing drought, increasing storminess, sea level rise, warmer waters, and ocean acidification (EPA 2014). According to the IPCC, surface temperature is projected to increase under all assessed emission scenarios. Projections also show heat waves and extreme precipitation events becoming more frequent and intense in many regions of the United States (IPCC 2014).

These impacts combine to create complex ecological interactions that further stress native ecosystems and hydrologic cycles throughout the Morro Bay watershed and estuary. Although there are a plethora of global climate models that project future climate change, their relatively large scale makes using them regionally much more uncertain. To combat this uncertainty, the US Geologic Service (USGS) created the Basin Characteristic Model (BCM), which reduced the 800-\(m^2\) cells produced by Global Circulation Models (GCM) into smaller 270-\(m^2\) cells. These smaller cells allow for more fine-scale analysis of climate change and can be used at the watershed level. To create better regional projections, local climate data for California has been put into these smaller cells to create baseline information (Nalder and Weins 1998). From there, each GCM computes its own algorithm to predict what the future climate may look like throughout California. These predictions are then summarized into 30-year intervals out to the year 2099. Results vary significantly from model to model and do not show interannual variability in climate; however, they do give a general sense of what the climate may look like and quantify the degree of change.

Climate models also incorporate different emissions scenarios produced by the IPCC in their climate reports. These emissions scenarios include the Special Report Emissions Scenarios (SRES) and the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). The IPCC scenarios were created by combining all global climate model information into a single dataset and projecting possible emission rates into the future. The RCP scenarios were produced in 2013, while the SRES scenarios were created in 2009. In figure 7, below, the difference between outputs is shown. Each scenario is based on a different assumption about the rate at which carbon emissions will increase in the future. The A2 scenario assumes that emissions will continue to increase at the rate they are now, A1B assumes emissions will begin to level off at the end of the century, and B1 assumes emissions will begin to level off now. The RCP scenarios also follow the same assumptions as the A2, A1B, and B1 scenarios, but they were updated in 2013. All of these scenarios are considered equally likely to occur and, therefore, do not have associated probabilities. The scenarios are all displayed in figure 7 below.
2.5 Relevant Modeling Studies

A study conducted in 2010 by the North Bay Watershed Association (NWBA) in the San Francisco Bay has been used as a guidance document for many Climate Vulnerability Assessments. Their assessment used two of the models available in the BCM to project their future climate. These models include the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) and the Parallel Climate Model (PCM) combined with the A2 scenario. Their findings concluded that both models predict reduced early and late wet-season runoff for the next century, resulting in a potentially extended dry season, regardless of increases in precipitation. Their models also predicted significant reductions in early wet-season rainfall, and while PCM A2 projects significantly higher rainfall in January, February, and March, it joins the GFDL A2 scenario in projecting drier conditions in April, May, and June (Micheli et al. 2010).

Another study using global circulation models was completed by UCSD to project the effects of climate change on the San Francisco Bay. Their models included the GFDL and PCM models as well. Their models were chosen for their ability to simulate seasonal precipitation and temperature, variability of annual precipitation, and El Niño/Southern Oscillations that are essential parts of the coastal California climate (UCSD 2012). These were, again, combined with the A2 IPCC global carbon emission rate scenario. Their analysis concluded that heat waves would be more frequent and intense, rainfall may...
increase and summers will be warmer and drier (UCSD, 2012). These conclusions fall in line with the previously discussed study for the San Francisco Bay area conducted by NWBA.

San Luis Obispo County has also prepared a climate change analysis using GCMs. The assessment conducted in 2010 by The National Center for Conservation Science and Policy used three different models. Their analysis was focused on county-wide changes and the certainty of climate change effects. They concluded that higher temperatures and shifting distributions of plants and animals were all high-certainty effects of climate change (Koopman et al. 2010). Medium-certainty effects included more frequent storms and changes in precipitation (Koopman et al. 2010). Other low-certainty impacts were changes in vegetation, runoff, and wildfire patterns.

In March 2015, a group of graduate students from the Bren School of Environmental Science and Management at University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) completed a report that included climate change modeling for the Morro Bay watershed. Their modeling approach included four climate models within the BCM, including the MIROC 3.2. They then used their model outputs to project vegetation change using IPCC software. Their analysis focused on vegetation community response to climate change and areas of high conservation priority. The UCSB study provided baseline information for the Climate Vulnerability Assessment of Morro Bay on vegetation changes and watershed processes. Each of these studies provided guidance on different modeling approaches for Morro Bay.

2.6 Modeling Approach for Morro Bay

The modeling approach chosen for Morro Bay is a synthesis of a top-down modeling assessment and a bottom-up threshold approach. This means that future climate change assessment is a combination of historic data, climate change scenario, and watershed and estuary analysis with guidance from local experts. This approach was selected because it includes quantifiable data, but still contains human analysis and decision-making.

Three models were chosen to predict possible future climate changes; each paired with two carbon emissions scenarios. The climate models used for Morro Bay include the GFDL, PCM, and MIROC 3.2. The GFDL and PCM models were chosen for their use in the NBWA climate change assessment for the San Francisco Bay and for their ability to represent a “warmer drier” and “warmer wetter” scenario respectively. The MIROC 3.2 model was also added as a “very warm—very dry” scenario to quantify what future drought conditions may look like. It had also been used in the climate assessment for SLO
County and the UCSB study. By choosing models that have been used in climate change analysis in coastal areas similar to Morro Bay, quality and accuracy are ensured. These models also have the ability to simulate the complex interaction of the oceans, which heavily influence the Morro Bay climate. Another variable for model selection was the availability of these models in the BCM, which allows for watershed level predictions.

All models were matched with both the A2 and B1 emissions scenario, with the exception of MIROC 3.2, which used the RCP 4.5 in place of B1, to analyze the full range of possible climate-change effects and to be comparable to the San Francisco Bay and SLO County climate studies. Two emissions scenarios were chosen for each model to quantify the potential range of climate change in Morro Bay. All models and emissions scenarios are considered equally probable, so by incorporating multiple combinations, predictions are more likely to be an accurate reflection of the future.

Each model was used to predict changes in precipitation, temperature, and aridity. Aridity was measured using the Climate Water Deficit (CWD) estimate. CWD integrates the effects of solar radiation, evapotranspiration, and air temperature on watershed conditions given available soil moisture from precipitation. CWD can be thought of as the amount of additional water that would have evaporated or transpired had it been present in the soils given the temperature forcings (Micheli et al. 2010). This estimate exemplifies the stress that warmer temperatures may have on plants and soils in the future.

Model projections were also compared with historic climate data for the region to better understand recent trends and support their claims. This was done by analyzing changes in rainfall patterns and temperature data for Morro Bay.

2.6.1 GFDL

The Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) is an organization within NOAA. The primary goal of GFDL is model-building relevant to society, such as hurricane research, prediction, seasonal forecasting, and understanding global and regional climate change (GFDL 2015). This model is commonly used in coastal areas for its focus and sophistication in ocean and atmospheric relationships and their interactions with coastal climates.

The predicted surface-temperature change for the GFDL model ranges from 3.60 to 7.65 F⁰. GFDL results also showed variability in its precipitation predictions between each 30-year summary, which may be attributed to the length of the time periods. The 30-year intervals take the average precipitation totals projected into the future and do not show interannual variability of rainfall. However, CWD estimates
showed increases from 9.5 to 20.9% in the future. This would result in much more intense drought stress on the ecosystems and communities in the area.

### 2.6.2 PCM

The Parallel Climate Model (PCM) was produced by the collaborative efforts of the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), the Naval Postgraduate School (NPG), the US Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Lab (CRREL), and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). The model uses the LANL Parallel Ocean Program, sea ice models from NPG, and atmospheric models produced by NCAR in their projections of the future climate (PCM 2015).

PCM results predicted an increase in surface temperature between 4.05 and 5.85°F for Morro Bay. It was also the only model to predict an increase in precipitation, with an increase between 83 to 95.5 mm per year. Even though this model predicted an increase in rainfall, increases in CWD are still expected between 4.0 and 7.2%.

### 2.6.3 MIROC 3.2

The Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC 3.2) is produced by Japan’s Center for Climate Systems Research (CCSR), National Institute of Environmental Studies (NIES), and the Frontier Research Center for Global Change (FRCGC). This model incorporates atmosphere, land, river, sea ice, and oceans into its climate projections. It has been used as the bracket for the worst possible hot/dry scenario for future climate change in many studies around the world. This model exemplifies what the Morro Bay climate would look like if rainfall decreases, while higher temperatures and more frequent and intense droughts persist.

MIROC 3.2 results show an estimated surface temperature increase between 5.85 and 8.55°F. This increase in temperature was coupled with a decrease in rainfall between 114 and 247 mm per year on average. As temperatures rise and rainfall decreases CWD was estimated to increase between 9.5 and 21.4%.

### 2.6.4 Model Similarities and Differences

Each of the three models used for this assessment incorporates a different set of variables. This is what leads to differences in the algorithms they use to predict ocean patterns and their influence on the coastal climate. All models agree that temperatures and CWD will increase in the future leading to a warmer and drier climate. The degree to which they change, however, varies across models. Precipitation patterns also vary significantly between each model. This is due to the uncertainty of climate change effects on ocean
patterns and the complex interactions that are involved in predicting rainfall. Another variable leading to this uncertainty is the location of Morro Bay, as it is on the threshold of being a semi-arid and semi-humid climate regime. Model projection data is summarized in tables 1 and 2 below and in figures 8 through 10.

Table 1: Summary of climate change predictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model + scenario</th>
<th>Change in temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Change in rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Change in rainfall (%)</th>
<th>Change in CWD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFDL B1</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>-97</td>
<td>-13.3</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL A2</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>-117</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM B1</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM A2</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC 3.2 RCP 4.5</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>-114</td>
<td>-15.6</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC 3.2 A2</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>-247</td>
<td>-33.9</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Range of all model predictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Change</th>
<th>Change in temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Change in rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Change in rainfall (%)</th>
<th>Change in CWD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>(-247–127)</td>
<td>(-33.9–13.1)</td>
<td>(4.0–21.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8: Model and scenario combinations with their associated temperature change projections.

Figure 9: Model and scenario combinations with their associated climate water deficit change projections.
2.6.5 Morro Bay and San Luis Obispo Historic Climate Data

While these climate models have been downscaled to be more accurate on the regional and watershed scale, their predictions are still uncertain. It is important to reference historic climate data to support their predictions and to capture interannual variations in climate. Regional climate data was collected from the Morro Bay Fire Department and Cal Poly campus. Over the past 54 years (1960–2014) Morro Bay climate data shows an increase in average annual temperature of about 1°F with recent records continuing to increase. A more drastic observation from the data is that of the average maximum daily temperatures, which increased about 3°F or 5%. Average daily minimum temperatures also increased a little over 1°F since 1960. This supports the basis of climate change that surface temperatures are indeed warming over time.

Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo precipitation records are also available from 1870 to present. These records were analyzed to find trends in precipitation over the last 143 years (1870–2013). Separating the data into 25-year intervals, the most recent period (1989–2013) had the highest amount of 30-inch or greater precipitation events on record. This may suggest that future years will have more frequent large precipitation totals and more intense storm events. Years with rainfall below 12 inches, or that were in a drought, did not show any increase in frequency.

Precipitation data was also examined by month from October to May. The analysis showed an increase of about 1 inch of rain during November and February. October, December, April, and May, however, showed little or no variation from the historic average. January saw about a 0.5 inch decrease in rainfall average, and March saw an increase of about 0.2 inches. Figures 11–13 show the change in monthly
average precipitation for Morro Bay and the actual precipitation data can be found in the appendix. The figures display what the average rainfall patterns looked like in 1910 and 2010. These were created by comparing the average monthly rainfall totals from 1870 to 1910 and the average totals from 1870 to 2010. This trend shows a shift in rainfall over the winter season and may account for more sporadic and intense rainfall events. The IPCC predicts that in subtropical dry regions, mean precipitation will likely decrease while extreme precipitation events over most of the mid-latitude land masses will very likely become more intense and frequent (IPCC 2014). Historic data seems to suggest that high precipitation years may become more frequent with more intense precipitation events.

Figure 11: Average monthly precipitation for SLO in 1910.

Figure 12: Average monthly precipitation for SLO in 2010.
2.6.6 Sea Level Rise and Ocean Acidification

Increased atmospheric carbon and the subsequent warming will alter the ocean both physically and chemically. As temperatures increase and warm the ocean, it will begin to expand. This combined with the melting of land-based ice will compound to raise sea levels. The North Bay Watershed Association estimated that over the past 100 years sea levels had increased regionally by 0.5 feet (Micheli et al. 2010). With medium confidence, the IPCC estimates that the sea level will rise between 1.3 and 2.7 feet by 2100 (IPCC 2014). In 2014, The NOAA Coastal Service Center produced the “Digital Coast Sea Level Rise and Coastal Flooding Impacts Viewer,” which displays the areas affected by sea level rise (see http://coast.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/tools/slr). Examples of this are shown in figures 14 and 15. The mapping tool shows general areas that are vulnerable to sea level rise, but may not accurately depict the extent of the rise in water levels. Predicting inundation comes with high uncertainty due to the many variables that remain unknown.
Figure 14: Current sea level produced by NOAA.
Figure 15: Two foot sea level rise prediction produced by NOAA.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has also provided flood maps showing areas vulnerable to flooding. This is important to consider when analyzing the effects of sea level rise during large flood events, like the 100 year storm. Figure 16, below, shows the extent of flooding from the 100 and 500 year storm events. The combination of sea level rise and flooding events could pose many risks to the Estuary Program in the future.
Figure 16: FEMA map showing areas vulnerable to flooding. Blue areas are water levels during the 100 year flood event and orange areas are levels during the 500 year flood event.

As carbon concentrates in the atmosphere, it will increase diffusion pressure into the ocean water and create more carbonic acid, which will reduce the pH over time, making the oceans more acidic. Predictions for ocean pH change are not well understood, but are not expected to be significant in Morro Bay. However, impacts in the Pacific Northwest may indirectly affect Morro Bay’s shellfish economy.

2.6.7 Climate Change Conclusions

More concentrated and higher precipitation years are predicted to produce more frequent large intensity storms that can alter the hydrology and ecology of the Morro Bay watershed. Increased temperatures and drier conditions will also modify the biological and ecological processes that impact the communities that rely on them. This is shown in the models as increased Climate Water Deficit, leading to increased stress on plants and wildlife. As temperatures increase with carbon emissions, the oceans will continue to warm and acidify, decreasing the pH and causing the sea levels to rise through thermal expansion. These impacts are discussed in further detail in section 3.
3. Climate Change Stressors and Likelihood Analysis

Stressors from climate change are analyzed and discussed for their impacts on the Estuary Program’s goals. Each stressor is broken up into subcategories for each of the priority issues. In each subcategory, discussions about the severity and likelihood of each impact are analyzed. Discussions are followed by a table identifying the likelihood of each impact. Impact analysis was deliberated by multiple local experts in Morro Bay to accurately identify their effects on the Estuary Program’s goals.

3.1 Increasing Storminess

The most recent global climate models suggest a wide range of precipitation outcomes for Morro Bay. Variations in precipitation projections can be attributed to differences in algorithms used to estimate the influence the ocean will have on coastal climates in the future. However, all of these models do indicate that the frequency of large storm events will increase. Furthermore, historic precipitation data from San Luis Obispo and Morro Bay suggest that the frequency of very high rain years is increasing. In the recent 25-year period ending in 2014, there were four years with above 30 inches of rain and two years with above 40 inches—more than any other previous 25-year period since 1885. This suggests that large storm events are becoming more frequent, especially when analyzing the 25-year moving average. This average did not deviate much from the annual precipitation average until recently, when it began to deviate by as much as four inches. Increased variability of the 25-year average around the annual average will create a much more dynamic and unpredictable climate in the future, resulting in more pronounced dry and wet years.

More frequent large storm events and rain years will have many implications for the Morro Bay watershed. Low-lying areas that are within the flood-prone elevation of streams will be in immediate danger. These areas will be more frequently inundated during intense rainfall events. High precipitation and intense storms also carry more pollutants and sediments into streams that eventually make their way into the estuary. While more precipitation may increase groundwater recharge, too much rainfall at once may cause more runoff and erosion. These impacts may be detrimental to the water quality and ecosystem services that the watershed and estuary provide to the community.

All models predict drier soil conditions, which have higher infiltration rates. This may increase the amount of recharge and runoff buffer capacity of soils for the first few storms, but in high-precipitation years, these effects would not last long, as soils would saturate quickly. Analyzing the watershed soils using NRCS data shows that the majority of soils had high to moderate runoff potential and low
infiltration. This may be because the dominant soil types are clayey and loamy claypan with some fine loam. Soils with high clay contents and claypans have low permeability and high water-holding capacity. All climate projections show increased drought stress (CWD) on soils between 4–21.4%. While drier soils do have higher infiltration rates, the effects will most likely be minor in the Morro Bay watershed, due to the soil compositions.

### 3.1.1 Accelerated Sedimentation

Larger storms will likely increase erosion and, thereby, sedimentation to streams. Sedimentation increase could have substantial impacts on the ecology of the watershed and estuary.

- Much of this sediment will be deposited into the estuary, raising the base elevation and altering the ability for mudflats, salt marshes, and freshwater/brackish wetlands to receive the tidal and stream flows they need to remain productive. Many areas will also become shallower causing eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) habitat to migrate to deeper areas.
- High precipitation events also contribute to larger and higher-velocity peak flows; these powerful stream flows can erode away the stream banks and carry more sediment (NRCS 2009).
- Upland tributaries have flashy peak flows that may increase in intensity with storminess. This can cause increased head-cutting of gullies and rills across the landscape that can contribute large spikes of sediment and erode hillsides. This problem exists now and will persist in the future, but with greater intensity.
- Increased sedimentation can fill in viable habitat for South Central California steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), an important indicator species for overall watershed health. Steelhead spawn in the gravel of riffles and spend much of their time in pools where they can conserve energy (Moyle et al. 2008). Sedimentation can fill in these habitat features.
- Timing of storms will be important to sediment inputs as well. Runoff occurs when soils are saturated from recent rainfall events, reducing their infiltration rate. When the next storm comes, the ability of the soil to take in water is exceeded by the precipitation rate, causing water to concentrate in overland flow (runoff). If there are multiple consecutive storms, runoff can be expected and can compound with stream bank failure. It is uncertain, however, if rainfall will be more concentrated or episodic in the future climate.
- Turbidity will increase in conjunction with sedimentation from more frequent large storms. This can cloud stream and estuary waters and limit light penetration. Very high levels can degrade habitat quality and negatively affect eelgrass beds.
3.1.2 Bacteria/Nutrient/Toxics

- More frequent high-precipitation events may lead to more pollutants during wet years. The increase in stormwater could result in higher loads of nonpoint source pollution, including cattle and pet waste, excess fertilizer, pesticides, and many others. Oyster farms have automatic closures when rainfall exceeds 0.3–0.4 inches in a 24 hour period to avoid bacterial harm (George Trevelyan 2015). As a result of increasing storminess, these closures may increase in frequency with more storms exceeding this threshold.

- Increased bacteria levels may increase DO demand. Large influxes of bacteria from storms into the streams and estuary can consume DO and reduce the amount available to aquatic species (EPA 2012).

- Septic systems have long been associated with water quality issues for Los Osos and the estuary. However, construction of a new wastewater treatment plant is underway. This should reduce the possibility of septic tank failure during large storm events.

- Currently, two new wastewater treatment plants are being constructed within the Morro Bay watershed. The Morro Bay and Cayucos joint treatment plant is in the process of moving upslope and inland to the Rancho Colina site, and is projected to be finished by 2021. The new site is at an elevation much higher than the 100-year flood levels. The new location and upgraded technology should reduce the possibility of overflow from large storms. The Los Osos plant is scheduled to finish in 2016, and will also be outfitted with updated technology with no discharge into surface waters. Instead, the plant will inject water into aquifers to combat salt water intrusion, or use it for irrigation. Both plants should have reduced risk of flooding.

  Pump stations for the wastewater treatment plants may be vulnerable to frequent large storms. Their electric motors may fail if water reaches them, causing untreated sewage water to seep out. These pump stations are well-engineered for this risk, but more pressure from storms may occur in the future.

- No manure storage or detention basins are located in the watershed, so there is no risk of pollution from this source.

3.1.3 Hydrologic Change

- High velocity peak flows may cause steelhead trout to seek refugia. During these strong flows, steelhead and aquatic species are unable to swim against the current and seek refuge in pools or off-channel habitats where they can conserve energy.
• Large peak flows from storms can increase sediment loads, which fill in stream habitats and accelerate downcutting. This can lead to high entrenchment ratios and further channelizing of the stream. Higher entrenchment can disconnect streams from their floodplains, causing them to focus their stream energy into narrow channels and significantly increase their sediment loads. This also reduces the amount of water that can permeate through the streambed and eventually into the groundwater tables below, thus reducing groundwater recharge.

3.1.4 Environmentally Balanced Uses

• Higher precipitation years may increase groundwater recharge. However, more intense storms will most likely contribute more runoff. Given that the soils in the watershed have low infiltration rates and moderate to high runoff potential, recharge during these intense rainfall events may decrease.

• Frequencies for such large flood events, such as the 100- and 500-year storms, will become more frequent in the future climate. More frequent floods may endanger low-lying agriculture, recreation, and infrastructure in the area. The FEMA produced flood map shows mostly agricultural areas being endanger of flooding.

• Landslide risk may increase as larger storms may oversaturate soils.

3.1.5 Ecosystem Restoration/Conservation

• Stream beds will be more frequently scoured of their habitat complexity and become degraded. This is a natural process, but if frequencies increase and compound with human alterations, streams may not be able to reach equilibrium.

• Plant species within the flood-prone areas of the watershed will be more susceptible to inundation, which may cause a shift in habitat and species composition. Streams will breach their banks more frequently and flood adjacent flat areas. This may lead to the creation of wetlands and shift vegetation to a more hydrophytic community. This may also increase viable habitats for wetland species, such as California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), and allow for water to pool and increase groundwater recharge.
Table 3: Impacts from increased storminess and their likelihood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased storminess</th>
<th>Climate change impact likelihood</th>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Not likely</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentation increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High rain intensity is a major contributing factor to sediment inputs in streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent floods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flood events will become more frequent with large and intense storms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggradation of estuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Erosion from strong storms leads to deposition in estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More intense and frequent pollution flushes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pollution peaks from rainfall events will become more intense and frequent due to increases in precipitation, which carry more pollutants from agriculture and urban areas through the stream system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent oyster farm closures from bacteria pollution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The 0.3–0.4 inch threshold will be exceeded more frequently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape runoff (overland flow) increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The number of events with landscape runoff will increase in frequency due to more intense storm events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered flood-prone area habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More frequent floods may increase wetland habitat and favor hydrophytic species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increasing storminess</th>
<th>Climate change impact likelihood</th>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Not likely</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased stormwater runoff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More rain means more runoff from compacted areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased groundwater recharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High precipitation years will be more frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent landslides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher hillside saturation may lead to landslides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High stream velocities disrupt steelhead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High peaks flows from large storms can force steelhead to seek refugia to conserve energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Warmer Annual Temperatures

Climate models all agree that surface temperatures will increase between 0.54 °F and 1.26 °F over the next 20 years, and will continue to increase through the end of the century (IPCC 2014). Models are also certain that there will be more frequent hot and fewer cold temperature extremes on daily and seasonal timescales, as global mean surface temperature increases. Another high-certainty prediction is that heat waves will become more intense and will occur with a higher frequency and longer duration (IPCC 2014; National Climate Assessment 2014). Higher annual temperatures will lead to warmer, longer summers and warmer winters. This will affect temperature-sensitive ecosystem interactions and may increase stream and estuary temperatures. Warmer waters will have important impacts on the Morro Bay watershed and estuary. Effects of these warmer waters will be more pronounced during summers than winters.

3.2.1 Accelerated Sedimentation

- Surrounding soils and vegetation will dry out faster and earlier in the season in the projected future. Drier conditions may effectively lengthen the fire season, increase fuel loading (intensity), and frequency of fires throughout the watershed. Wildfires remove ground cover
and can lead to increased soil erosion. A study looking at historic fire data in the western United States estimated a 650% increase in fire frequency from 1970 to 2003, attributed mainly to climate change (National Climate Assessment 2014). More frequent wildfires expose soils to erosion and landslides, which can release large amount of sediment into streams (National Climate Assessment 2014). Possible impacts to other native plant communities could be from grassland fires spreading to coastal scrub and maritime chaparral, which cover 11% and 9% of the watershed respectively (Sims 2010). These communities will become drier as well, making them more susceptible to fire. The scrub and chaparral plant communities are not well-adapted to frequent wildfire, and may shift into coastal grasslands if fires become too frequent. The fire return interval for maritime chaparral is anywhere from 40 to 70 years and 10 to 20 years for coastal scrub (NPS 2007). While these plant communities respond well to fire and contain species that require fires to germinate new seeds, too frequent fires will reduce their population’s ability to rebound. One native species in particular that may benefit from increased fire frequency is the Indian knob mountainbalm (*Eriodictyon altissimum*), which has suffered from suppressed wildfire (Sims 2010). Timing of fire will also be important because spring burns favor native grasses, while fall burns favor nonnative species (NPS 2007). In 1994, fires along Highway 41 in the Morro Bay watershed followed by heavy rains led to significant increases in sediment to the estuary. Fuels management has been present throughout the watershed through grazing and agricultural practices, which is reflected by the infrequency of fires in the area.

### 3.2.2 Bacteria/Nutrients/Toxics

- Longer growing seasons and warmer temperatures may require urban landscapes and agriculture to take up more water and increase pesticide and fertilizer use (National Climate Assessment 2014). This would be most impactful if farms began to double or triple crop to maximize their production potential.
- Warmer waters facilitate the growth and abundance of bacteria (National Climate Assessment 2014). More favorable water temperatures for bacteria may allow them to persist longer and consume more DO. However, bacteria require a vector to deposit them into the water. Commonly, bacteria are carried by precipitation from storm events into streams and, eventually, the estuary. Due to the inability for bacteria to independently transport itself, concentrations will likely not increase, but may persist longer in warmer water.
- Increased water temperatures may also create more toxic pollutants (National Climate Assessment 2014). Increased water temperatures may provide a catalyst for pollutants to become more reactive and form more toxic elements (Nature 2010).

- Warmer water temperatures may also facilitate the survivability of new pathogens and diseases in the estuary. These can negatively affect eelgrass by allowing pathogens, such as *Labrinthula macrocystis*, to have greater abundance, survival, and transmission. *Labrinthula* has been targeted as a contributor to eelgrass population declines in much of the United States and Morro Bay (Bjork et al. 2008). Southern sea otters (*Enhydra lutris nereis*) are vulnerable to parasites, bacteria, and diseases as well (Sims 2010). New pathogens may also endanger steelhead and human populations. During the past year, Oregon and northern California have had record high stream temperatures from lack of snowpack, warmer annual temperatures, and warmer El Niño conditions. This has led to an increase in salmonid mortality from diseases and thermal pollution. Biologists along the Deschutes River in Oregon found that mortality of sockeye was associated with a warm-water disease that infects the gills. Many northern California rivers, such as the American, Merced, and Klamath have been forced to close fishing season to save their fisheries (KGW 2015). Overall, warmer water temperatures combine with other factors and create an inhospitable environment for many aquatic species. Communities in Mexico and northern Europe have had increased levels of *Vibrio* strains in their warmer ocean waters that have led to seafood and recreational deaths from sickness (Nation Climate Assessment 2014). *Vibrio* currently persists in ocean waters that exceed 68°F, which may occur in the future of Morro Bay. As ocean water warms, suitable habitats for pathogens and diseases will move north into previously uninhabitable areas. These changes may also negatively impact the oyster farming industry in the bay. Warmer waters may also allow for parasites and bacteria to have greater survival and transmission.

- Warmer waters may also facilitate algal blooms that can consume DO, shade out eelgrass (*Zostera marina*), and can be toxic to California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*). Toxic blue algae prefer warm water that allows them to float and absorb sunlight more easily, further increasing water temperatures and shading out the estuary (EPA, 2015). Shallow areas will be more vulnerable due to lack of depth available to buffer temperature changes. This may affect the salt marshes, mudflat habitats, and much of the back bay. However, streams within the watershed will also be very vulnerable. Chorro Creek and Los Osos Creek, and many of their tributaries, are 303 (d) listed by the Clean Water Act for nutrient impairment, a primary factor in algae growth. Chorro Creek also has a high natural source of heavy metals, which is another substrate that algae need to grow. The combination of pollution and warmer
temperatures may facilitate larger and more frequent algae blooms that consume DO and reduce water quality. This is already a problem, as Chorro Creek is 303 (d) listed for low DO levels and the bay has frequently been observed to have algal blooms and low DO in the southern portion of the bay.

3.2.3 Hydrologic Change

- Warmer annual temperatures are projected to decrease the amount of fog days and reduce the moisture provided to the area. In 2010, a study of coastal fog in the eastern Pacific, using long-term airport data, found that the occurrence of summertime fog has declined by 33% over the last 100 years (Johnstone and Dawson, 2010). Projections from this data are uncertain, however, and should only be used as possible discussion of effects. There are many other variables that drive coastal fog that are still not well understood. If coastal fog was to decrease in frequency, it would result in a significant loss of moisture for the area.
- Wetlands and off-channel habitats may dry out earlier in the year from decreased flows.
- Warmer waters can hold less DO (IPCC, 2014). Steelhead trout begin to see impairment when DO drops below 11 mg/L (Karter, 2008).
- Warmer temperatures may stratify the water column in the bay creating a semi-permanent thermocline that can reduce the mixing of DO and nutrients. This may be offset, however, by more intense winds that can cause turbulent mixing.

3.2.4 Environmentally Balanced Uses

- Water supplies will be increasingly stressed by plants, agriculture, and urban demand due to increased heat stress (National Climate Assessment 2014).
- Warm waters can cause oysters to spawn in Morro Bay, which can lead to poor meat quality (George Trevelyan, 2015).
- Temperature criteria for California Men’s Colony wastewater treatment plant outflow may need to be reconsidered due to warmer receiving water. In order to minimize effects downstream, it may be necessary to reduce the temperature of discharged effluent.

3.2.5 Ecosystem Restoration/Conservation

- Invasive insects may invade the warmer climate and further reduce fitness of native plant and animal species (McMichael and Bouma 2000, WCS 2008). Insects may migrate from the south or come in through boat traffic.
• Warmer stream temperatures during summer and fall may reduce juvenile rearing habitat quality in the freshwater environment. This may result in decreasing population trends over time.

• Warmer temperatures may favor invasive species over native species. Plant species better adapted to a drier subtropical climate may invade and native plants may migrate north.

• Habitats may become drier and decrease the diversity of plant life that can tolerate the warmer/drier conditions.

• Aquatic and terrestrial species that rely on wetlands and off-channel habitats in the watershed and estuary may need to adapt to possible earlier dry-outs or loss of habitat. Some special species of concern include California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*) and southwestern pond turtle (*Actinemys marmorata pallida*) (Sims, 2010).

• Bird migrations may shift in timing or alter their flight patterns in response to climate change. With warmer temperatures, some avian species have begun to migrate earlier in fall and leave earlier in winter, while other birds that were previously sedentary now migrate (Carey, 2009). Species that used to migrate may stay for the winter or may mistime the food supply along their migration corridor. Migratory bird species that mistime their food supply may have the strongest decline in populations (Both et al., 2006). While some species may be able to breed and arrive earlier in the season, these processes may be unable to adapt at the rate of climate change (Both et al., 2006).

These effects on Morro Bay are something to be aware of and have been monitored by the Morro Coast Audubon Society. The National Audubon Society’s Climate Change report cited that out of the 588 North American bird species, 314 were listed as climate endangered or threatened (Audubon 2014). The listing as endangered or threatened is differentiated by the area of suitable habitat impacted. Climate endangered species are affected where they currently exist and climate threatened species are impacted where they may exist in the future. The suitable climate maps produced for each bird species individually show significant increases in habitat ranges for most species examined; however, these areas may not provide the necessary forage, nesting areas, and protection from predators (Alfano, 2014). It is uncertain if these impacts will negatively affect Morro Bay. While some bird species may no longer migrate to Morro Bay, other species may begin to in the future. Bird migrations have many complex interactions with the estuary and loss of specialized grazers may impact some habitats that rely on them to keep the trophic levels balanced. However, new specialized species may migrate in and fill the niches that may open up in response. Regardless of these changes, bird species will need to adapt to the changing climate.
Recent observations of adaptations to climate change have been linked to developmental plasticity and behavioral flexibility. These adaptations may not suffice long term, however, as changes will become more drastic than the normal interannual variability of food supply and other habitat resources. This may lead to a decline in species that are no longer able to breed in time to match the food supply of the area.

- Increased stream temperatures may negatively affect steelhead and the overall aquatic community. When water temperatures warm, aquatic species have increased metabolic rates that may surpass their food supply and lead to population die offs. South-Central California Coast steelhead trout may not survive in areas with temperatures above 78.8°F, or an average temperature above 70.7°F (Moyle et al. 2008). Their optimal mean stream temperature range is 42.8°F and 50°F, with mean temperatures exceeding 55.4°F considered poor habitat (NMFS 2007). Climate change may cause more sections of the Morro Bay watershed to become unsuitable or poor habitat for steelhead. Climate change conditions may also favor invasive species, like Sacramento Pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus grandis*), and crayfish (*Cambrus spp.*) that can compete with native steelhead and tidewater gobies. These invasive species have already been identified in the watershed and may receive a competitive advantage over native species if temperatures warm.

- Warmer water temperatures were attributed to the almost complete extinction of eelgrass in the Chesapeake Bay during a record high summer in 2005 where temperatures exceeded their thresholds for survival (National Climate Assessment 2014). Eelgrass declines may also cause a decline in Brant geese (*Branta bernicla*) and many other bird and aquatic species that rely on the habitat (Sims 2010). Loss of eelgrass would destabilize the trophic levels of the estuary and could cause a dramatic shift in species biodiversity and abundance.

- Jellyfish may also invade the bay. In recent history, jellyfish populations have increased from loss of predators and increased food source. However, research on jellyfish has observed a natural 20-year cycle of jellyfish blooms not connected to climate change (Poppick, 2013). Recent examples of jellyfish-filled nets and clogging of infrastructure have piqued public concerns about climate change, but research suggests this is normal behavior. Jellyfish blooms do not present a danger to the Morro Bay ecosystem.
**Table 4: Impacts from warmer temperatures and their likelihood.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warmer temperatures</th>
<th>Climate change impact likelihood</th>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Not likely</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased urban and agricultural water use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More temperature/moisture stress on plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased algal blooms and decomposition rates</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warmer waters facilitate algae growth and decomposition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased temperature/moisture stress on plants</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warmer/drier climate conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Less DO from warmer water temperatures</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warmer water can hold less oxygen and increases DO consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird migration shifts and population declines</td>
<td></td>
<td>Some birds may alter migration cycles or mistime food supplies resulting in lower survival rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less suitable habitat for steelhead</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warmer temperatures may decrease the amount of viable habitat for steelhead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More algal blooms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sea otters, steelhead and other aquatic species are sensitive to algal blooms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased water budget stress</td>
<td></td>
<td>More water use to combat drier conditions from all stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warmer temperatures</th>
<th>Climate change impact likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eelgrass declines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorable conditions for bacteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warmer streams during summer and fall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased use of herbicides/pesticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction of new pathogens/diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toxicity of pollutants may increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased coastal fog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oyster infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Climate change impact likelihood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Not likely</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic habitats may dry out earlier</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower summer flows and increased temperatures may dry out wet habitats earlier in the year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favors invasive species</td>
<td></td>
<td>Species better adapted to warmer/drier conditions will be better adapted to climate change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive insects</td>
<td></td>
<td>Invasive insects may migrate to the warmer climate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New CMC discharge requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warmer stream temperatures downstream of their effluent may require them to release cooler water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-permanent thermocline</td>
<td></td>
<td>More intense winds may mitigate thermoclines by mixing bay water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive species altering fire regime</td>
<td></td>
<td>Invasive species have already compromised many communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jellyfish invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Studies suggest population booms are natural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Increasing drought

Drought is defined as “a long period of time during which there is very little or no rain (Webster 2016).” For this analysis, abnormally low rainfall is considered below the annual average and a prolonged period is over two years. In general, for the southwest region of the United States, there is high confidence that droughts will intensify during the dry season from lack of soil moisture (Southwest Climate Alliance 2013). This, combined with evidence that heat waves will become longer, more intense, and more frequent, will further compound these effects (National Climate Assessment 2014; IPCC 2014). The regional patterns of drought for Morro Bay and San Luis Obispo show a much more variable rainfall patterns through the years. Current trends seem to be moving towards a less stable precipitation regime with more deviation from the average from year to year. Historical data suggests that wet years will have much greater spikes in precipitation than before, and dry years will be more intense and frequent. However, some of these effects may be mitigated by the coastal climate of Morro Bay (Micheli et al. 2010).

The main impact from more intense droughts from climate change is the exacerbation of warmer temperature effects discussed in section 3.2 above.

3.3.1 Accelerated Sedimentation

- More intense droughts may further increase fire risk in the watershed. Loss of ground cover can leave soils vulnerable to sedimentation. This was a major impact after the 1994 highway 41 fire in Morro Bay. Increases in fire risk may not be significant, however, because droughts and wildfire are already part of the ecosystem. Too frequent fires may have greater consequence, but grazing operations may mitigate fuel loads and manage fire prevention.

3.3.2 Bacteria/Nutrients/Toxics

- Drier and hotter droughts will increase water temperatures, which favor bacterial growth, algal blooms, decomposition, and lower DO levels in the estuary and watershed (EPA 2012).

3.3.3 Hydrologic Change

- Groundwater levels may decline and more salt water intrusion may occur. This has become a problem during the current drought for Los Osos. The drinking water has become increasingly salty from the decreasing aquifer levels (Wilson 2015). This may also become a threat to Morro Bay’s water source as state water is becoming more tightly managed.
• Lower water tables will result in lower base flows year-round and less water available for wetland and off-channel habitats.

3.3.4 Environmentally Balanced Uses

• More frequent droughts may increase water stress in soils and plants. All models predict increased drought stress, regardless of wetter or drier climate, via the Climate Water Deficit estimate. Warmer temperatures year-round will exacerbate the stressors of drought. Plants will be subject to increased evapotranspiration rates, forcing them to increase water uptake to compensate. This may further stress agricultural crops and urban landscapes that will also need to be irrigated more in response (National Climate Assessment 2014). More water uptake will further decrease available water for riparian and wetland areas.

3.3.5 Ecosystem Restoration/Conservation

• Invasive plant species may also continue to thrive during hotter and drier droughts. Much of the watershed and bay are already influenced by invasive species, but climate change may make eradicating them much more difficult as they may become more resilient than native species.

• Many aquatic and terrestrial species rely on wetlands and off-channel habitats in the watershed and estuary, and will need to adapt to earlier dry-outs or loss of habitat. Most native flora and fauna are adapted to drought conditions given the area’s historic climate, but with drier conditions and more intense heat, these adaptations may be compromised.

• Steelhead trout migrations may be further impacted by low flows. Recent history suggests that steelhead are already under stress from low flow conditions, and climate change impacts will likely exacerbate them.

• As previously stated, warmer water temperatures were attributed to the almost complete extinction of eelgrass in the Chesapeake Bay during a record high summer in 2005, in which temperatures exceeded their thresholds for survival (National Climate Assessment 2014). More intense droughts exacerbate these warm water effects.
### Table 5: Impacts from increased drought and their likelihood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased Drought</th>
<th>Climate change impact likelihood</th>
<th>Likely</th>
<th>Possible</th>
<th>Not likely</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased DO levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warmer water holds less DO and facilitates algal blooms and decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss or early dry-out of wetland habitats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drier conditions and lower water tables will supply less water and more will be lost to evaporation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased moisture/temperature stress on plants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drier conditions overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressed water budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drier conditions leading to increased water use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt water intrusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower groundwater levels will increase salt water intrusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal pollution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low drought flows and warmer conditions will increase water temperatures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favors invasive plant species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More intense droughts may favor invasive plant species that are more drought tolerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased Drought</td>
<td>Climate change impact likelihood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Not likely</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of specialized wetland species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Early dry out and loss of wetland habitats may cause a loss in specialized species that rely on them for habitat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decline in eelgrass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Warmer waters linked to decline in Chesapeake Bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased fire season length and frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Longer and more intense droughts may increase fire risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4 Sea Level Rise

Using the NOAA Coastal Service Center’s “Digital Coast Sea Level Rise and Coastal Flooding Impacts Viewer,” the areas most vulnerable to sea level rise were identified. These include the mud flats, South Bay Boulevard, Los Osos Creek and Chorro Creek, the Los Osos Creek Bridge, and Sweet Springs Nature Preserve. Water levels are predicted to inundate the mud flats in the estuary and abut South Bay Boulevard. Water may move past the Los Osos Creek Bridge and pool upstream of the current estuary. In Los Osos, the Sweet Springs Nature Preserve is predicted to be inundated as well.

#### 3.4.1 Accelerated Sedimentation:

- Sea level rise may inundate areas in the back bay and throughout the estuary that have been aggrading over time. This may mitigate some of the negative effects of sedimentation in the estuary by raising the water levels to compensate.
- Higher sea levels may increase or migrate areas of salt marsh and mudflats. These areas provide good habitat for many unique native species. If the aggradation of the estuary exceeds the increase in water level, then the back bay may be converted to salt marsh and mudflat.
• Increased water levels in the estuary may cause a shift in suitable habitat for eelgrass as some of its current habitat extent becomes deeper.
• Sea level rise may reduce the retention time of sediments and water in the back bay. In recent history, this part of the estuary has been aggrading and retention times have increased from the reduction in water depth. With higher water levels, tidal influence and wind on this area of the estuary may help mitigate aggradation and flush out water and sediments more frequently.
• Increases in coastal erosion may occur in some areas. Fortunately, the sandspit may mitigate much of the sea level rise and its effects on the coast and communities. However, it may also lose some of its buffering capacity from storm surges and tidal influence. The net effect is uncertain, as the sandspit may also build up due to littoral sand transport.

3.4.2 Bacteria/Nutrients/Toxics
• Large storm surges may have a stronger ability to flush in-bay pollutants.

3.4.3 Hydrologic Change
• Ocean water moving further upstream in the estuary may increase salt water intrusion into the groundwater table and alter the salinity gradient (National Climate Assessment 2014). This will have important implications for Los Osos, which relies on groundwater for its water supply, and Morro Bay, which is allotted state water but may need to find other sources in the future.

3.4.4 Environmentally Balanced Uses
• Some infrastructure, such as South Bay Boulevard, parts of Los Osos, and Coleman Road, may need to be closed during large storm surges or king tides. These areas may be increasingly more vulnerable to tidal influences.
• Another major concern is the combination of storm surges with flooding events. Looking at areas vulnerable to flood and sea level rise, it is possible that the combination could endanger infrastructure near the confluence of Los Osos and Chorro Creek with the estuary. Los Osos is currently vulnerable to flooding in some areas, regardless of climate change. Sea level rise effects and flooding events may not significantly increase the risk of flooding in Los Osos.

3.4.5 Ecosystem Restoration/Conservation
• Many unique habitats in the estuary may be subject to changes in salinity. This may cause vegetation communities to migrate, if possible. Estuary habitats support an abundance of unique
flora and fauna that will need to adapt to the changes in salinity over time. Those that cannot adapt may be lost.

Table 6: Impacts from sea level rise and their likelihood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sea Level Rise</th>
<th>Climate change impact likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased salt water intrusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in wetland inundation frequency and salinity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift/increase in suitable eelgrass habitat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced water/sediment retention times</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May mitigate aggradation of the back bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change impact likelihood</td>
<td>Likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sea Level Rise</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased infrastructure risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt marshes may move inland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of specialized wetland species intolerant of salinity change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5 Ocean Acidification

Ocean pH is projected to acidify by 0.3 to 0.4 from an average of 8.0, by 2100. The decrease may lower the saturation levels of calcite and aragonite in the ocean (Raven et al. 2005). These compounds are key substrates needed to form the calcium carbonate shells of invertebrate species. Decreasing calcium carbonate substrates will lead to less of it available for shellfish and less to be contributed to the nutrient cycles of the ocean. These effects may be offset, however, by increasing water temperatures that raise the saturation level for aragonite and calcite (Raven et al. 2005).

Ocean acidification has been affecting oyster farms in the Pacific Northwest for the past decade. Oysters rely on aragonite to form their initial shells. In acidic waters, aragonite becomes less available and can cause mass die-offs of young oysters. In the Pacific Northwest, hatcheries are unable to pump ocean water or have had to add sodium carbonate to raise the pH (National Climate Assessment 2014). This has led to seed shortages throughout oyster farms in the United States. Morro Bay hosts two oyster farms that rely on these hatcheries to buy their seed. The Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) are not native to Morro Bay and are unable to produce viable seed in the bay. This is why the Morro Bay oyster farms are so reliant on
the Pacific Northwest hatcheries. While problems with acquiring seed have caused trouble for oyster farms in the bay, they have not had any adverse growth affects from low pH levels on their product.

3.5.1 Bacteria/Nutrients/Toxics

- Lower pH may result in increased toxicity of pollutants and more free metals. However, pH change will not be significant enough to catalyze such reactions. These effects are seen when pH drops below 6.5, which is not projected for Morro Bay (CADDIS 2012).

3.5.2 Environmentally Balanced Uses

- Oyster hatcheries in the Pacific Northwest may no longer be able to produce viable seed. This would force closures of oyster farms that rely on their hatcheries, including farms in Morro Bay.
- More acidic waters may corrode infrastructure in the bay more rapidly. Corrosion rates of pipes, boats, pilings and many other metal fixtures that are inundated by sea water may increase. This effect has been found to be insignificant, however, since the decrease in pH is not enough to increase corrosion rates significantly (Raven et al. 2005).

3.5.3 Ecosystem Restoration/Conservation:

- More acidic ocean water may increase the amount of ionic compounds and favor the dissolution of aragonite and calcite. This would negatively impact estuary species that need calcium carbonate to develop (Raven et al. 2005).
- Steelhead trout have an optimal pH level between 7.0 and 8.0, but can survive anywhere from 5.8 to 9.6 (Moyle, 2002). Ocean acidification projections do not go below this range in Morro Bay. The worst case scenario for ocean pH is 7.8.
Table 7: Impacts from ocean acidification and their likelihood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocean Acidification</th>
<th>Climate change impact likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH-sensitive species loss of fitness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease in available substrates for CaCO₃ users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seed shortage or loss of PNW hatcheries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corrosion of infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased pollutant toxicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.6 Possible Offsetting Impacts

- High precipitation years may increase groundwater recharge and raise the water table. This may mitigate the effects of decreasing summer low flows and possibly drought. Local precipitation data shows a trend towards more frequent high rainfall years (above 30 inches) allowing for more subsurface storage. This may extend groundwater supplies into subsequent years.
- Sea level rise may improve habitat in the back bay by providing more water and allowing for more tidal influence to help with flushing of sediments and pollutants, and increasing DO. Recently, aggradation of the estuary has caused waters and sediments to stagnate in the back bay as water becomes shallower. Sea level rise may counteract these impacts by deepening water, which can decrease temperatures, reduce resistance to mixing, and inundate areas that are aggrading.
- Acidification of the ocean may lower the amount of calcium carbonate that the estuary can hold. This can impact oysters and other shellfish and plankton that rely on calcium carbonate to produce their shells. This impact may be offset, however, by warmer water temperatures that can increase the amount of calcium carbonate the estuary can hold. This may reduce acidification impacts to below levels of significance.

3.7 Possible Compounding Impacts

- As the sea level rises, it may begin to reduce the land area of the sandspit and therefore reduce its buffering capacity of storms and storm surges. Less sandspit area may lead to more breaching during storms and king tides, lessening its ability to protect the bay from storm impacts. It is uncertain, however, if the sandspit will build up in response or shift further inland.
- Higher sea levels and more powerful storms may combine to create large flood events from storm surges and peak flows. This may endanger many of the low lying areas around the bay. Areas of high concern are Coleman Road, South Bay Boulevard, and parts of Los Osos. These areas are most susceptible to sea level rise, which may be compounded by storm surges. Large peak flows may exacerbate these effects in the estuary, increasing susceptibility to flooding.
4. Significance (Severity) vs. Probability (Likelihood)

Individual climate change risks were separated into their stressors and sorted by the likelihood and consequence of their impacts. Likelihood and consequence are discussed in section 3. Organizing each impact by both likelihood and priority in the following tables allows the Estuary Program to prioritize them in the future adaptation plan. Impacts are color-categorized by their significance: green is low priority, yellow is moderate priority, and red is high priority. Also, impacts that may have a positive effect are noted by a plus sign.

4.1 Increasing Storminess

Table 8: Likelihood v. Consequence table for increasing storminess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of occurrence</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood of occurrence</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequence of impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1. More frequent and intense peak flows disrupting steelhead</td>
<td>1. Sedimentation increase</td>
<td>1. Increased frequency and intensity of pollution-flushing events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1. More frequent landslides</td>
<td>1. Altered flood-prone area habitat</td>
<td>2. Erosion and aggradation of estuary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consequence of impacts
### 4.2 Warmer Temperatures

Table 9: Likelihood v. Consequence table for warmer temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of occurrence</th>
<th>Consequence of impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| High                     | 1. Increased biological activity (decomposition, metabolism, bacteria, etc.)  
2. Drier habitats  
3. Alteration of bird migration pattern and population declines  
1. Increased agricultural/urban water use  
2. More frequent algal blooms  
3. Temperature stress on plants  
4. Lower DO levels  |
| Low                      | 1. Increased use of pesticide/herbicide  
2. Favorable conditions for invasive plants and insect  
3. Thermal pollution of streams and estuaries  
1. Favorable conditions for new pathogens/diseases and bacteria  
2. Oyster infections  
3. Eelgrass population declines  |
| 1. Jellyfish invasion     | 1. Semipermanent thermocline  
1. More frequent fire  
2. CMC lower temperature discharge requirements  
3. Less coastal fog  
4. More toxic pollutants  |
| Low                      | Medium                 | High                        |
### 4.3 Increasing Drought

Table 10: Likelihood v. Consequence table for increasing drought.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of occurrence</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consequence of impacts</td>
<td>1. Decreased DO levels</td>
<td>1. Favorable conditions for invasive plants and insects</td>
<td>1. More frequent fires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Early dry-out of wetlands</td>
<td>1. Eelgrass population declines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Increased drought stress</td>
<td>2. Loss of specialized wetland species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Thermal pollution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. More frequent algal blooms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. More salt water intrusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consequence of impacts</td>
<td>1. More frequent fires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.4 Sea Level Rise

Table 11: Likelihood v. Consequence table for sea level rise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of occurrence</th>
<th>Consequence of impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| High                     | 1. Wetlands becoming more salty or inundated  
2. Salt marsh/mudflat migration inland  
1. Increased salt water intrusion |
| Medium                   | 1. Reduced retention times in the back bay (+)  
2. Mitigate warmer bay waters (+)  
3. Mitigate aggradation of estuary (+)  
1. Shift in eelgrass habitat  
2. Loss of specialized wetland species intolerant to salinity change  
3. Increased infrastructure risk |
| Low                      | Low                    |
| Low                      | Medium                 |
| High                     | High                   |
### 4.5 Ocean Acidification

#### Table 12: Likelihood v. Consequence table for ocean acidification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of occurrence</th>
<th>Consequence of impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1. Loss of pH-sensitive species fitness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1. Seed shortage or loss of PNW hatcheries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Low                      | 1. Corrosion of infrastructure  
                           | 2. Increased toxicity of poll  
                           | 1. Decrease in available aragonite/calcium carbonate |
| Low                      | Medium                 | High |

#### 4.6 Discussion

By sorting impacts into color categories via the probability vs. significance tables, climate change effects were prioritized by level of concern. Out of 54 impacts, 27 were listed in red boxes of high priority, 17 were listed in yellow boxes of medium priority, and 10 were listed in green boxes of low priority.
5. High Significance – High Likelihood Effects (Red Box)

Discussion on the impacts and likelihood of each stressor can be found in Section 3 above.

**Increasing storminess**

1. Increased frequency and intensity of pollution flushing events
2. Sedimentation increase
3. Increased erosion and aggradation of the estuary
4. Increased landscape and stormwater runoff
5. Increased frequency of flood events
6. Increased frequency of oyster closures

**Warmer temperatures**

1. Increased agricultural and urban water use
2. More frequent algal blooms
3. Increased temperature stress on plants
4. Lower DO levels
5. Drier habitat conditions
6. Alteration of bird migrations and population declines
7. Increased biological activity (decomposition/metabolism/bacteria/etc.)
8. Favorable conditions for new pathogens/diseases and bacteria
9. Oyster infections from warm water bacteria
10. Eelgrass population declines
**Increasing drought**

1. Decreased DO levels
2. Early dry-out of habitats
3. Increased drought stress on plants and animals
4. Thermal pollution of streams and the estuary
5. More frequent algal blooms
6. Eelgrass population declines
7. Increased salt water intrusion into local aquifers
8. Loss of specialized wetland species

**Sea level rise**

1. Increased salt water intrusion into local aquifers
2. Salt marsh/mudflat migration inland
3. Change in wetland inundation frequency and salinity

**5.1 Climate Change Conclusions for the Morro Bay National Estuary Program**

Many of the Estuary Program’s goals focus primarily on two things: habitat conservation and clean waters. As the local climate undergoes changes, these goals will be placed under much greater stress. More intense rainfall events will be able to carry higher levels of pollutants and sediment into the Morro Bay watershed and estuary. Drier conditions will further stress native plants and water supplies in the area. Warmer temperatures will change the temperatures of the bay and stream waters that lead to poor habitat conditions. As sea levels rise, unique habitats may be in danger of inundation and more salt water intrusion will occur. However, through the collaboration of local agencies and the Estuary Program, many of these impacts may be mitigated to lower risk levels.
6. Future Mitigation/Adaptation Planning

Risks are broken down by their priority zone (red/yellow/green) and the approach to be taken. Discussion and information on each of the climate change stressors can be found in section 3. Identification of priority issues can be found in section 4.

Approach definitions

**Mitigate**: Risks that have a potential action that can lower the risk level and create a win-win situation. Actions may include planting riparian shade plants or restoring floodplain connectivity.

**Transfer**: Another organization may be working towards reducing a certain risk already and the Estuary Program may participate in the effort, but will not be the lead.

**Accept**: The Estuary Program accepts that climate change may bring on some impacts, but no actions are identified at this time. Impacts will continue to be monitored and reviewed.

**Avoid**: An impact is identified as increasing with climate change, but focusing resources on reduction is not feasible.

6.1 Possible Transfer Organizations

Organizations with overlapping interests and resources that may be able to collaborate on climate change mitigations are listed in the table below. These organizations and agencies may be able to share resources and take responsibility of some mitigation efforts.

Table 13: List of partners and organizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partners/organizations</th>
<th>Common goal/objective/work area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morro Coast Audubon Society</td>
<td>Bird populations, habitat protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Brant Group</td>
<td>Brant populations/eelgrass restoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Morro Bay</td>
<td>Estuary and bay tourism, infrastructure development (i.e., expansions along waterfront that may impact eelgrass, boat haul-out facility, etc.), stormwater management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

72 | CLIMATE VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Focus Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California State Parks</td>
<td>Mudflats and watershed health, habitat protection, monitoring of sensitive species, identification and removal of invasives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed Stewards Partnership</td>
<td>Watershed health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal Poly</td>
<td>Education/eelgrass/water quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Conservation Service</td>
<td>Conservation of ecosystems, development of implementation projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
<td>Steelhead/other sensitive species/CCER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal Trans</td>
<td>Highways, development/protection of infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Forest Service</td>
<td>Manage upper-watershed land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
<td>Coastal grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOSLO</td>
<td>Volunteers for ecosystem health/trail repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Coastal Conservancy</td>
<td>Conservation of coastal habitats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County</td>
<td>Conservation of ecologically important land, restoration work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Osos Community Services District</td>
<td>Local community service coordination, public education, stormwater management, water quantity/basin management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Wilderness Area Preservation</td>
<td>Elfin Forest management, public education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo County</td>
<td>Planning for the area, stormwater management, water management, wastewater management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td>Water quality, stormwater management, project funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Funding, policy guidance, regulatory guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Water Resources Control Board</td>
<td>Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Conservation Corps</td>
<td>Restoration projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast Salmon Enhancement</td>
<td>Habitat acquisition and management, education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trout Unlimited</td>
<td>Restoration efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo Botanical Garden</td>
<td>Education and outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13 continued...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cuesta College</td>
<td>Education and outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo County Office of Education</td>
<td>Education and outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Men’s Colony</td>
<td>Wastewater treatment plant impacts to Chorro Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morro Bay Natural History Museum</td>
<td>Education and outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp San Luis Obispo National Guard Base</td>
<td>Stormwater management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District</td>
<td>Provide programs to assist farmers, ranchers, landowners and other watershed users in improving and protecting soil and water resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Native Plant Society</td>
<td>Native plant protection, conservation, and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO County Weed Management Area</td>
<td>Invasive plant management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 Increasing Storminess

Table 14: List of approaches to increasing storminess impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Severity level (green/yellow/red)</th>
<th>Approach (mitigate/transfer/accept/avoid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sedimentation increase</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate/transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. More frequent floods</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Aggradation of estuary</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. More intense and frequent pollution flushes</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. More frequent oyster closures from bacteria pollution</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Landscape runoff increase</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate/accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Increased stormwater runoff</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Altered flood prone area habitat</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Increased groundwater recharge</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. More frequent landslides</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. High stream velocities disrupting steelhead</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.3 Warmer Temperatures

Table 15: List of approaches to warmer temperature impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Severity level (green/yellow/red)</th>
<th>Approach (mitigate/transfer/accept/avoid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Increased decomposition rate</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Drier habitats</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Increased urban and agricultural water use</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Algal blooms</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Temperature stress on plants</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Lower DO levels</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Thermal pollution</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Favorable conditions for new pathogens, diseases, and bacteria</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Less coastal fog</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Formation of more toxic pollutants</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Oyster infections</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Favorable for invasive insects</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Bird and fish migration shifts</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Increased use of pesticides/herbicides</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Favorable for invasive plant species</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate/transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. CMC temperature discharge requirements</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept/transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Jellyfish invasion</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. More frequent fire</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate/transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Semi-permanent thermocline</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Eelgrass declines</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.4 Increasing Droughts

Table 16: List of approaches to increasing drought impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Severity level (green/yellow/red)</th>
<th>Approach (mitigate/transfer/accept/avoid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Loss of specialized wetland species</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Decreased DO</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Increased drought stress</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Thermal pollution</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Early dry out of habitats</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Algal blooms</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Eelgrass declines</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Salt water intrusion</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. More frequent fires</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate/transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Favorable for invasive species</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate/transfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.5 Sea level Rise

Table 17: List of approaches to sea level rise impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Severity level (green/yellow/red)</th>
<th>Approach (mitigate/transfer/accept/avoid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased salt water intrusion</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands becoming more salty/inundated</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift/increase in suitable eelgrass habitat</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced water/sediment retention times</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigate aggradation of back bay</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigate increases in temperature</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased infrastructure risk</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt marshes/mudflats migrate inland</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of specialized wetland species intolerant of salinity change</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Mitigate/accept</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.6 Ocean Acidification

Table 18: List of approaches to ocean acidification impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Severity level (green/yellow/red)</th>
<th>Approach (mitigate/transfer/accept/avoid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH-sensitive species loss of fitness</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed shortage or loss of PNW hatcheries</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in available substrates for CaCO₃ users</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased pollutant toxicity</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosion of infrastructure</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Accept</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.7 Possible Mitigations/Adaptations

Possible adaptation actions are listed in the table below. These actions are judged on whether they can effectively reduce the likelihood and impacts of climate change risks.

**Table 19: List of potential adaptation actions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Potential adaptation action</th>
<th>Could this action reduce likelihood (by itself or in combination with others)?</th>
<th>Could this action reduce impacts (by itself or in combination with others)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sedimentation</td>
<td>Levee removal projects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large woody debris installation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Floodplain restoration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transfer some mitigations to CA DFW/State Parks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. More frequent floods</td>
<td>Widen stream buffers</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Warmer water temps</td>
<td>Plant evergreen, resilient shade trees in upland tributaries</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower CMC discharge temperatures</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Drier habitats</td>
<td>Plant species that maintain soil moisture</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Algal blooms</td>
<td>Riparian fencing and off-creek water</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stream shading (to decrease temperatures)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater management</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-farm BMPs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Drought stress</td>
<td>Plant drought-tolerant plants</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rainwater harvesting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water conservation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create swales</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 20 continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Potential adaptation action</th>
<th>Could this action reduce likelihood (by itself or in combination with others)?</th>
<th>Could this action reduce impacts (by itself or in combination with others)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Salt water intrusion</td>
<td>Los Osos recycled water</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rain water harvesting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water conservation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fires</td>
<td>Reduce fuel loads and increase fire management with Cal Fire</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce invasive species</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Invasive species</td>
<td>Removal projects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prescribed grazing/fires</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Sea Level Rise</td>
<td>Support local planning efforts that protect buffer and migration areas from development and encourage climate-smart growth</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitate plant migration</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.8 Selecting Adaptation Actions

The criteria for assessing actions are a combination of multiple considerations including feasibility and effectiveness, cost and cost-effectiveness, ancillary costs and benefits, equity and fairness, and robustness. These terms are explained below.

**Risk reduction potential:** This was presented in section 6.7 above. This table reaffirms that the adaptation action listed will reduce the risk of climate change impacts.

**Feasibility and effectiveness:** Is this action a proven strategy and has it been proven to be successful? Is it politically feasible? Is implementation timely enough to reduce impacts before they occur? Would the local community and stakeholders support this action? Is there permission or authority to implement this action?

**Cost and cost-effectiveness:** Is the cost minor (M), similar to municipal public works (S), very expensive (VE), or not possible (NP)? Is this a reasonable cost for risk reduction? Is there a long-term maintenance cost? Will future costs be avoided?

**Ancillary costs and benefits:** Is the action maladaptive? Are there any co-benefits to other areas? Is the action sustainable? Beneficial to other areas (B) or maladaptive (M).

**Equity and fairness:** Does it align with the Estuary Program’s goals? Does the action disproportionately affect parts of the community? Yes the action is equal and fair (Y), or no, the action disproportionately affects others (N).

**Robustness:** Will this action do well under the multiple possible future climate scenarios? Is the action flexible enough to be changed in the future if conditions vary from those predicted? How much is being invested into his action? Is it a no-regrets action?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation Actions</th>
<th>Risk Reduction Potential</th>
<th>Feasibility and effectiveness</th>
<th>Cost and cost-effectiveness</th>
<th>Ancillary costs and benefits</th>
<th>Equity and fairness</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Appropriate to proceed with this action?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Levee removal projects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. LWD installation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust but maladaptive</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Floodplain restoration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Transfer some mitigations to CA DFW/State Parks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Create swales</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Widen stream buffers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Plant evergreen, resilient, shade trees in upland tributaries</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Lower CMC discharge temps</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust, but maladaptive</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Plant species that maintain soil moisture</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 21 continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation Actions</th>
<th>Risk Reduction Potential</th>
<th>Feasibility and effectiveness</th>
<th>Cost and cost-effectiveness</th>
<th>Ancillary costs and benefits</th>
<th>Equity and fairness</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Appropriate to proceed with this action?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. Riparian fencing and off-creek water</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Stream shading</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Stormwater management</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Plant drought tolerant plants</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Los Osos recycled water</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Water conservation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Reduce fuel loads/work with Cal Fire</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Invasive species removal projects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Prescribed grazing/fires</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Collaborate with CSLRCD implementation of on-farm BMPs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 21 continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation Actions</th>
<th>Risk Reduction Potential</th>
<th>Feasibility and effectiveness</th>
<th>Cost and cost-effectiveness</th>
<th>Ancillary costs and benefits</th>
<th>Equity and fairness</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Appropriate to proceed with this action?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21. Support local planning efforts that protect buffer and migration areas from development and encourage climate-smart growth</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Facilitate plant migration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust, but maladaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Rain water harvesting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Robust and adaptive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.9 Summary of Adaptation Actions and Program Goals

Out of the 22 potential adaptation actions formulated by the Estuary Program, 15 were chosen. These actions were seen as the most feasible and beneficial to the Estuary Program and provided the best reduction of climate change risks. Actions were also chosen for their adaptability to the range of future climate projections and the ecosystem improvements that they provide regardless of climate change.

6.9.1 Proposed Adaptation Actions

- **Floodplain restoration**

  Floodplain restoration provides benefits to water quality, ecosystem restoration, and water conservation. Better connection of streams to their floodplains can reduce sedimentation, enhance groundwater recharge, and create and improve habitats in the area. Regardless of future climate change, this action will reduce risk to the Estuary Program’s goals. Some of these risks include drier conditions and more frequent intense storms. The Estuary Program has been involved in many past floodplain restoration projects and plans to continue to be involved in these projects in the future.

  - **Create swales**

    Floodplain restoration may include the creation of swales. Swales allow for increased groundwater recharge, and filtration of pollutants. They provide important habitat for many plant and animal species. These areas may also reduce the risk of flooding and provide refuge during intense droughts.

  - **Widen stream buffers**

    Projects may also encompass the planting of riparian species to widen stream buffers. By allowing high stream flows to spread across more of the adjacent landscape and provide moisture to plants and soils, the stream buffer areas will expand. This allows for more habitat shade and refuge from future heat extremes and droughts.

- **Plant evergreen, resilient shade trees in upland tributaries**

  As the climate changes, so will the vegetation that can tolerate it. Planting of drought-tolerant species that provide perennial shade will be necessary around stream sections that are open to sun exposure. As the climate warms and becomes drier, increased shade plants will protect waterbodies in the Morro Bay watershed from thermal pollution and evaporation. These efforts will mostly focus on upland tributaries that have little shade.
- Plant species that maintain soil moisture and are drought tolerant

Species chosen for planting should help maintain soil moisture and be drought tolerant. As conditions become drier, plants that exhibit these characteristics will have a competitive advantage over other species, and will be able to survive into the future. This will require adapting plantings to these types of species so that efforts are not wasted on plants that will not be able to survive the future climate conditions.

- Stream shading

Improving stream shading through planting efforts will buffer streams from increasing surface temperatures. Increases in water temperatures can have many detrimental impacts to freshwater ecology in the Morro Bay watershed.

- Riparian fencing

The Estuary Program has been, and continues to be, involved in riparian fencing installation. In the future, large and intense rainfall events may carry pollutants and erode landscapes that serve as rangeland and agriculture. Installing riparian fencing may help mitigate these effects to levels below significance. Livestock and row crops can compact soils or leave them vulnerable to erosion; keeping them further from the stream corridor will reduce their influence on ecosystem processes that are vulnerable.

- Stormwater management

More frequent and intense storms will increase inputs of stormwater and pollution into the estuary and watershed. Currently, San Luis Obispo County, the City of Morro Bay, and the CCC have stormwater management plans. In the future, the Estuary Program may become more involved in implementation and monitoring of stormwater BMPs. Reduction in stormwater pollutants will reduce the risk of algal blooms and impacts on sensitive species.

- Water Conservation

While the Morro Bay climate naturally experiences periodic droughts, the future is projected to become drier and warmer across all scenarios. This will lead to more intense droughts and increase the need for water conservation. Depletion of groundwater will also contribute to more salt water intrusion that may be compounded by sea level rise. Current projects have been undertaken by the Estuary Program and surrounding communities to improve the conservation of water.
Los Osos Recycled water

By 2016, The Los Osos wastewater treatment plant is expected to be completed. The effluent water produced by the treatment plant is planned for reuse and injection into the aquifers below. The Los Osos aquifers are already experiencing pollution from salt water intrusion and septic tank pollutants. By eliminating the majority of septic systems and injecting water into the groundwater table, these effects may be mitigated.

Rainwater harvesting

The Estuary Program, Cal Poly, NOAA, and CCC collaborated to install a rainwater harvesting plant on Pennington Creek. The installation served as a source of water for livestock operations in the area, helping to reduce the uptake of ground and stream water. The success of this project will likely influence the proposal for more rainwater harvesting plants in the future. By reducing uptake from rangeland and agriculture, streams may have higher and longer lasting flows while enhancing groundwater recharge. Providing off-creek water will also keep livestock away from the riparian corridors and reduce their impacts on nearby streams.

Invasive plant species removal projects

The Morro Bay watershed has multiple areas that have been impacted by invasive plant species. Invasive plants can alter ecosystem processes and limit biodiversity. Biodiversity allows plant communities to better respond to natural disasters, such as climate change. Some ecosystem processes may also be altered, such as fire regime, erodibility of soils, and habitat composition.

The Estuary Program produced an Invasive Species Management Plan in 2010 that provided guidelines for early detection, prevention, rapid response, control and management, and education and outreach. This program has been effective in preventing new species from colonizing within the estuary and watershed. Pressures from invasive species will only increase in the future as climate continues to become more favorable for these plants. Continued focus and engagement from partners on prevention and projects that remove invasive species will remain necessary in the future.

Prescribed grazing/fires

Invasive species removal has proven to be extraordinarily difficult. Some methods that may be applied are prescribed grazing and fire. Many invasive species are more flammable than natives and can increase fire frequency, especially with the predicted drier climate. To reduce
fire risk, controlled grazing or burning of fuels may be necessary. Management of these methods can also reduce invasive species reproduction and favor native species in the area. The implementation of such projects could provide a great benefit to ecosystem functioning in the estuary and watershed and better prepare them for climate change.

- Support local planning efforts that protect buffer and migration areas from development and encourage climate-smart growth.

As sea levels rise, they are projected to inundate the mud flats, Los Osos and Chorro Creeks, and Sweet Springs Nature Preserve. Conservation of the areas around projected sea levels will be necessary to facilitate the migration of vital estuary habitats. Avoiding development in these areas may better prepare the area for climate change and protect the many functions of the estuary.

Other climate-smart planning may include conservation of high biodiversity areas, climate refuge, and protection of migration corridors. Areas of high conservation priority may include north-facing slopes, riparian corridors, and other open space.

Some of these efforts have been explored in other planning efforts, such as the UCSB Bren School of Environmental Science and Management report mentioned in section 2.5.
6.9.2 Other Agencies Adaptation Actions

- The Los Osos Community Service District has been drafting a septic system reuse report. The document will provide guidelines for stormwater and gray water reuse, using the decommissioned septic systems on Los Osos residence properties. This may help provide more efficient water use and groundwater recharge to the area, while also reducing stormwater pollution.
- The Coastal San Luis RCD has begun a Climate Ready Rangeland project in the Morro Bay watershed to prepare for climate change. This project involves the implementation of multiple water conservation and soil building methods, and improving grassland ecosystem health. Implementation will demonstrate climate-ready management of rangelands for the many other cattle ranchers in the area with a full report expected in 2017. The project is considered an example of carbon farming, a method of building resiliency and capturing carbon through on-farm BMPs (Draft Carbon Farm Plan 2015).
- The Coastal San Luis RCD continues to be involved in facilitating best management agricultural practices throughout the Morro Bay watershed. Their efforts in soil conservation, biodiversity, and water stewardship continue to prepare agriculture in the area for climate change. The Estuary Program will continue to collaborate with the RCD on soil building and carbon farming projects in the future.
- The Estuary Program will continue to work with DFW and State Parks in the management of natural resources within the watershed. Many species habitat enhancement and invasive species management projects are led by these agencies and will be important to the resilience of the watershed in the future.
- The Sweet Springs Nature Preserve has worked collaboratively with California Native Plants Society on assisted migration efforts for salt marsh plant species. Their past projects have proven to be successful and may need to be further implemented in the future due to sea level rise. The Estuary Program will plan to consult with CNPS on future assisted migrations within the estuary in areas that will be most affected by sea level rise in order to reduce the risk of losing specialized species.

6.9.3 Monitoring and Review

The Climate Vulnerability Assessment will be monitored and reviewed every 5 years. This matches the update frequency of the Estuary Program’s Comprehensive Conservation Management Plan (CCMP). Updates to this report will be necessary, as climate change effects on Morro Bay become more certain in the future and restoration projects are completed.
7. Appendix

Figure 17: Morro Bay precipitation data starting in 1960, including the 5-year and annual-average trend lines. Data is from the Morro Bay Fire Department.

Figure 18: San Luis Obispo precipitation data downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.
Figure 19: Morro Bay annual temperature data starting in 1960, including the annual average trend line. Data is from the Morro Bay Fire Department.

Figure 20: Morro Bay annual temperature data starting in 1960, including the annual average trend line for maximum daily temperature. Data is from the Morro Bay Fire Department.
San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.

The monthly rainfall data below shows increases in November and February, as well as a slight increase for March. January shows a small decrease, and no change is visible for December, April, May, or October.

![October](image)

**Figure 21:** San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.
Figure 22: San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.

Figure 23: San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.
Figure 24: San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.

Figure 25: San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.
Figure 26: San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.

Figure 27: San Luis Obispo monthly precipitation data was downloaded from the Cal Poly Irrigation Training and Research Center (ITRC). Precipitation gauge is located on the Cal Poly campus.
Climate change model output calculations

Estimates for climate change were calculated by taking the minimum and maximum projected temperature, precipitation, and CWD. These values were then averaged to show the average change from the historic values. Once these averages were produced, they were used to calculate percent change. Example equations and tables are shown below.

Equations:

For average temperature, precipitation, and CWD estimates, the minimum and maximum values were added together and divided by two. An example equation is shown below.

\[
\text{Average temperature/precipitation/CWD} = \frac{(\text{Min value} + \text{Max value})}{2}
\]
Projected changes in temperature, precipitation, and CWD were calculated by subtracting the projected average by the historic average. An example equation is shown below.

Projected change in temperature/precipitation/CWD = \((Projected\ average\ −\ Historic\ average)\)

Percent change in precipitation and CWD were calculated by dividing the projected average by the historic average, subtracting one, and then multiplying by one hundred. An example equation is shown below.

Percent change in precipitation/CWD = \(\left(\frac{Projected\ Average}{Historic\ average}\ −\ 1\right) \times 100\)

Table 21: Table calculations for projected temperature change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Minimum temperature ((\text{C}^\circ))</th>
<th>Maximum temperature ((\text{C}^\circ))</th>
<th>Average temperature ((\text{C}^\circ))</th>
<th>Average temperature in ((\text{F}^\circ))</th>
<th>Change in temperature ((\text{F}^\circ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td>77.45</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>73.85</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24.25</td>
<td>75.65</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC 3.2</td>
<td>RCP 4.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24.25</td>
<td>75.65</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.75</td>
<td>78.35</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 22: Table calculations for projected precipitation change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Minimum precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Minimum precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Average change (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td>-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>-86</td>
<td>-148</td>
<td>-117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC 3.2</td>
<td>RCP 4.5</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>-166</td>
<td>-114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>-157</td>
<td>-337</td>
<td>-247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 23: Table calculations for projected change in CWD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Minimum CWD (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum CWD (mm)</th>
<th>Average CWD</th>
<th>Average change (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>851.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>1029.5</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>885.5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC 3.2</td>
<td>RCP 4.5</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>183.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 24: Table shows different rainfall projections for each model and emissions scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Average rainfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>729.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL B1</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>632.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL A2</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>612.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM B1</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM A2</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>812.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC 3.2 RCP 4.5</td>
<td>RCP 4.5</td>
<td>615.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC 3.2 A2</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>482.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9. Maps

The following maps show the historic temperature, climate water deficit, and precipitation for Morro Bay and the projected changes by 2099 using the 3 models and 6 emissions scenarios chosen.

Other maps not included in this section were model projections for precipitation change over December, January, and February, and CWD change over the month of July. These maps were less certain and were only used for brainstorming in the initial phases of the report. They are available upon request.
Historic temperature data was downloaded from the BCM. Map shows the average maximum temperature for Morro Bay from 1981 to 2010.
MIROC 3.2 temperature data was downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the average maximum temperature for Morro Bay from 2070 to 2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
MIROC 3.2 temperature data was downloaded from the BCM and used the RCP 4.5 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the average maximum temperature for Morro Bay from 2070 to 2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
Projected Average Maximum Temperature PCM A2 (2070-2099)

PCM temperature data was downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the average maximum temperature for Morro Bay from 2070 to 2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
PCM temperature data was downloaded from the BCM and used the B1 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the average maximum temperature for Morro Bay from 2070 to 2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
GFDL temperature data was downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the average maximum temperature for Morro Bay from 2070 to 2099.
GFDL temperature data was downloaded from the BCM and used the B1 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the average maximum temperature for Morro Bay from 2070 to 2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
Historic Climate Water Deficit (1981-2010)

Historic CWD data was downloaded from the BCM. Map shows the average CWD for Morro Bay from 1981 to 2010.
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Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
Projected Climate Water Deficit for MIROC 3.2 A2 (2070-2099)

MIROC 3.2 CWD projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected CWD for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
MIROC 3.2 CWD projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the RCP 4.5 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected CWD for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
PCM CWD projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected CWD for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
Projected Climate Water Deficit for PCM B1 (2070-2099)

PCM CWD projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the B1 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected CWD for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
Projected Climate Water Deficit for GFDL A2 (2070-2099)

GFDL CWD projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected CWD for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
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GFDL CWD projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the B1 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. **Map shows the projected CWD for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.**

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
Historic precipitation data was downloaded from the BCM. Map shows the average annual precipitation for Morro Bay from 1981 to 2010.
MIROC 3.2 precipitation projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected average precipitation for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
MIROC 3.2 precipitation projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the RCP 4.5 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected average precipitation for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.
PCM precipitation projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected average precipitation for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
Projected Average Annual Precipitation PCM B1 (2070-2099)

PCM precipitation projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the B1 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected average precipitation for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.

Evan Mundahl
10/05/2015
GFDL precipitation projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the A2 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected average precipitation for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.
GFDL precipitation projections were downloaded from the BCM and used the B1 scenario for carbon emissions produced by the IPCC. Map shows the projected average precipitation for Morro Bay from 2070-2099.