



Benthic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessment Data Summary Memo 2019

September 2, 2020

Morro Bay National Estuary Program
601 Embarcadero, Suite 11
Morro Bay, CA 93442

Acknowledgements

The Morro Bay National Estuary Program would like to thank the following individuals and organizations for their time, effort, and support, all of which made this monitoring possible:

- The Harold J. Miossi Charitable Trust for their generous grant that funded the 2019 monitoring effort.
- Karissa Willits, MBNEP, for spearheading the effort for the organization.
- The dedicated MBNEP volunteers who donated their time to ensuring the success of this project.
- EcoAnalysts. Inc. for analyzing the samples.
- The landowners who granted access for the monitoring.

This report should be cited as follows:

Morro Bay National Estuary Program's Benthic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessment Data Summary Memo 2019.
Morro Bay National Estuary Program. September 2020.

List of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
CDFW	California Department of Fish & Wildlife
CCRWQCB	Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
MBNEP	Morro Bay National Estuary Program
BMI	Benthic Macroinvertebrate
EPT	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera
SoCal IBI	Southern California Coastal Index of Biotic Integrity
SWAMP	Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program
WY	Water Year (Oct 1 st – Sep 30 th , named for year in which it ends)

Contents

Introduction	5
Methods	7
Results	7
Conclusion	13
Future Efforts	14
References	17

List of Figures

Figure 1. Watershed boundary, mainstem creek segments, and bioassessment sites in the Morro Bay Watershed surveyed between 2002 - 2019.	6
Figure 2. Taxa richness data for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.	9
Figure 3. EPT richness data for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.	9
Figure 4. Percent EPT data for 2017 – 2019 bioassessment monitoring.	10
Figure 5. Percent Sensitive EPT data for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.	10
Figure 6. SoCal IBI scores for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.	11
Figure 7. Mainstem stream segments and their ecological health designations based on 2019 IBI scores.	15
Figure 8. Mainstem stream segments and their ecological health designations based on average IBI scores from 1994 to 2019.	16

List of Tables

Table 1. MBNEP Bioassessment Sites for WY2019.	5
Table 2. Results of Taxa Richness, EPT Richness, EPT%, % Sensitive EPT and SoCal IBI scores for 2017 - 2019.	8
Table 3. All IBI scores for all Morro Bay watershed creek sites from 1994-2019.	12
Table 4. General Ecological Health Designations for IBI Scores.	12

Introduction

The Morro Bay National Estuary Program (MBNEP) is a nonprofit organization that brings together citizens, local governments, nonprofits, agencies, and landowners to protect and restore the Morro Bay estuary and the surrounding watershed. The monitoring conducted by staff and volunteers has three main goals: 1) assess long-term ambient trends, 2) track the effectiveness of specific implementation projects, and 3) to establish protection and restoration targets.

This report summarizes the results of aquatic bioassessment using benthic macroinvertebrates (BMIs) during the 2019 water year (WY2019). BMIs are organisms that live in the bottoms of streams and rivers, are composed mainly of insects, and are a reliable indicator of biological health (SWAMP 2017).


Bioassessment monitoring incorporates physical, chemical, and biotic factors into a quantitative measurement of the overall ecological health of a waterbody. The results of these surveys can be used to measure and assess impacts to surface water ecosystems over time.

This report summarizes five primary metrics used to determine waterbody health: taxa richness, Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) richness, percent EPT, percent sensitive EPT, and Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) Score. Bioassessment surveys were conducted by MBNEP staff and volunteers at ten locations throughout the Morro Bay watershed during WY2019. Table 1 highlights these ten sites in blue and identifies the rest of the bioassessment sites where monitoring has occurred in the past. The watershed, as shown in Figure 1, is approximately 77 square miles and is largely dominated by agricultural uses, but does have urban land use primarily along the coast. Rainfall records from the nearby California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo report that the area surrounding San Luis Obispo receives an average of 21.83 inches of rain per year (Cal Poly San Luis Obispo 2017). This gauge is located approximately nine miles from the center of the Morro Bay watershed. Figure 1 also shows the locations of all bioassessment sites surveyed by the MBNEP between the years of 2002 to 2019.

Table 1. MBNEP Bioassessment Sites, with WY2019 sites in blue.

Site Code	Site Description
TWB	Lower Chorro Creek
CER	Middle Chorro Creek
ACR	Middle Chorro Creek, Above Ecological Reserve
CHD	Upper Chorro Creek
MNO	San Bernardo Creek
USB	Upper San Bernardo Creek
LSL	Lower San Luisito Creek
USL	Upper San Luisito Creek
WAL	Walters Creek
PEN	Lower Pennington Creek
UPN	Upper Pennington Creek
DAL	Lower Dairy Creek
DAM	Middle Dairy Creek
DAU	Upper Dairy Creek
LVR	Los Osos Creek
CLK	Upper Los Osos Creek
COO	Coon Creek

Figure 1. Watershed boundary, mainstem creek segments, and bioassessment sites in the Morro Bay Watershed surveyed between 2002 - 2019.



Methods

All sampling followed the *Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for the Collection of Field Data for Bioassessments of California Wadeable Streams: Benthic Macroinvertebrates, Algae, and Physical Habitat* (Ode, P.R., A.E., Fetscher, and L.B. Busse. 2016) established by the Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Due to limited sampling resources, the MBNEP does not conduct the algae collection module.

This method involves monitoring a 150-meter reach at each creek site using the reach-wide benthos procedure. Measurements and observations on substrate, water depth, canopy cover, bank stability, and other physical parameters were taken at each of 11 equidistant transects and ten inter-transects. Macroinvertebrate samples were collected from each transect, rotating between the margins and center of the creek. The samples were composited into a single sample and sent to EcoAnalysts, Inc. for analysis according to SWAMP SAFIT Level 2 taxonomy protocols. The samples were sorted and counted until at least 600 organisms were identified, and a count was provided of the individual taxa as well as several calculated metrics.

The calculated metrics included in this memo are as follows:

- Taxa richness is a measure of the number of different species of organisms in the sample.
- EPT richness is a measure of the total number of taxa within the sensitive orders of Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies), and Trichoptera (caddisflies).
- EPT% is the percentage of EPT individuals within the total number of individuals in the sample.
- Percent sensitive EPT is the percentage of EPT individuals with tolerance values of 0 to 3.
- The Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) score used in this report is the Southern California Coastal IBI (SoCal IBI) developed by the Aquatic Bioassessment Laboratory of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). Seven uncorrelated biotic measurements were selected to be included in the calculation. They include collector-gatherer and collector-filterer individuals, percent non-insect taxa, percent tolerant taxa, coleoptera richness, predator richness, percent intolerant individuals, and EPT richness. The SoCal IBI score is applicable in a range from San Diego to Monterey and closely tracks the jurisdictions of Regional Water Quality Control Boards 3, 4, 8, and 9. As shown in Table 4, IBI scores of 0 to 19.99 are considered to be very poor, 20 to 39.99 are poor, 40 to 59.99 are fair, 60 to 79.99 are good, and 80 to 100 are very good.

The MBNEP has 15 bioassessment sites. Due to funding as well as stream flow and site access, the maximum number monitored each year is typically ten. In 2019, after meeting with a Technical Advisory Committee, the MBNEP decided to try a monitoring approach of dividing the existing and proposed new sites into two categories: Core and Rotating. Each year, the same six Core sites will be monitored, and four of the Rotating sites will be monitored. Rotating sites will be monitored approximately every other year. This monitoring effort must be conducted under a CDFW Scientific Collection Permit (SCP). The MBNEP holds the appropriate permit and conducts all required notifications and reporting.

Results

The following tables, graphs, and maps summarize the results of the WY2019 bioassessment

monitoring and provide context for the results by comparing them to historical bioassessment metrics. Taxa richness, EPT richness, EPT %, % Sensitive EPT, and IBI scores from the most recent three-year period (2017–2019) are displayed in Table 2. These are specific to the ten sites monitored in 2019. An "x" indicates that no monitoring occurred.

Site	Year	Taxa Richness	EPT Richness	% EPT	% Sensitive EPT	SoCal B-IBI
MNO (San Bernardo Creek)	2017	37.00	12.00	52.70	3.37	40.00
	2018	52.00	19.00	21.19	9.70	75.71
	2019	52.00	17.00	32.17	7.50	67.14
LSL (Lower San Luisito Creek)	2017	37.00	12.00	28.80	9.82	50.00
	2018	55.00	22.00	51.36	22.18	78.57
	2019	52.00	19.00	39.74	6.53	68.57
UPN (Upper Pennington Creek)	2017	50.00	15.00	58.44	13.49	77.14
	2018	57.00	21.00	44.95	34.27	87.14
	2019	60.00	16.00	26.43	9.62	82.86
DAM (Dairy Creek Middle)	2017	45.00	7.00	48.50	8.73	50.00
	2018	x	x	x	x	x
	2019	38.00	8.00	27.98	11.39	48.57
DAU (Upper Dairy Creek)	2017	49.00	11.00	44.79	18.41	80
	2018	66.00	22.00	37.80	21.38	82.86
	2019	55.00	15.00	42.56	8.78	65.71
TWB (Lower Chorro Creek)	2017	31.00	9.00	34.07	21.85	48.57
	2018	46.00	11.00	14.61	2.75	52.86
	2019	43.00	10.00	22.81	1.26	31.43
CER (Middle Chorro Creek)	2017	39.00	9.00	34.10	1.09	31.43
	2018	39.00	9.00	31.57	2.95	25.71
	2019	36.00	6.00	36.64	0.41	15.57
ACR (Above Chorro Reserve)	2017	x	x	x	x	x
	2018	x	x	x	x	x
	2019	46.00	13.00	30.39	0.94	32.86
LVR (Lower Los Osos Creek)	2017	28.00	5.00	50.15	0.83	28.57
	2018	x	x	x	x	x
	2019	43.00	8.00	47.62	1.34	35.71
CLK (upper Los Osos Creek)	2017	51.00	8.00	4.95	3.81	51.43
	2018	59.00	10.00	21.55	4.55	61.43
	2019	40.00	11.00	23.43	3.69	52.86

Table 2. Results of Taxa Richness, EPT Richness, EPT%, % Sensitive EPT, and SoCal IBI scores for 2017 - 2019.

Figures 2 to 6 contain taxa richness, EPT richness, % Sensitive EPT, and SoCal IBI data. These metrics all typically decrease in response to disturbance. For Figures 2 to 6, the absence of a bar indicates that monitoring was not conducted at the site that year.




Figure 2. Taxa richness data for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.




Figure 3. EPT richness data for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.




Figure 4. Percent EPT data for 2017 – 2019 bioassessment monitoring.




Figure 5. Percent Sensitive EPT data for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.




Figure 6. SoCal IBI scores for 2017 - 2019 bioassessment monitoring.

Table 3 shows IBI scores for all Morro Bay watershed creek sites, as well as the average IBI scores for each site. Monitoring began in 1994 and has continued nearly every year since. Monitoring prior to 2002 was conducted by the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (CCRWQCB). Every year, the number of measurable sites was determined by available resources, staffing, and surface flow conditions. As previously noted, IBI scores are grouped into categories that typically describe the ecological health of each site, shown in Table 4.

The bottom row of Table 3 shows the average of all scores for that site. Scores are color coded based on the ecological health designations in Table 4.

Table 3. IBI scores for Morro Bay watershed creek sites from 1994 - 2019.

	TWB	CER	ACR	CHD	MNO	USB	USL	LSL	WAL	UPN	PEN	DAU	DAM	DAL	CLK	LVR	COO
1994	*	*	*	44.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	82.0	80.0	63.0	*	*	*	*
1995	*	*	*	23.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	46.0	43.0	*	*	*	*
1996	*	*	*	33.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	89.0	*	73.0	*	73.0	77.0	*
1997	39.0	*	*	44.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	84.0	76.0	59.0	74.0	90.0	*	*
1998	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1999	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	79.0	9.0	60.0	62.0	70.0	*	*
2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	69.0	*	*	*	*	*
2001	54.0	*	*	27.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	62.0	*	*	72.0	*	*	*
2002	36.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	70.0	*	66.0
2003	34.0	51.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	81.0	*	80.0
2004	32.0	41.0	*	50.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	66.0	*	*	*	79.0	*	*
2005	36.0	31.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	60.0	46.0	83.0
2006	46.0	*	*	46.0	*	*	*	*	*	84.0	70.0	*	*	*	51.0	*	87.0
2007	49.0	30.0	*	49.0	*	*	*	*	*	70.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	83.0
2008	55.8	30.0	*	44.3	75.8	*	*	67.2	38.6	78.7	*	80.1	50.1	50.1	58.6	*	81.5
2009	*	*	*	57.2	*	*	*	70.1	*	*	*	91.5	74.4	*	*	*	*
2010	*	*	*	*	67.2	77.2	91.5	75.8	28.6	*	*	71.5	52.9	60.1	65.8	41.5	*
2011	*	34.3	*	54.3	62.9	*	58.6	54.3	*	85.7	*	58.6	65.7	*	57.1	48.6	*
2012	45.7	47.1	*	*	74.3	*	*	72.9	*	84.3	*	*	*	*	70.0	*	*
2013	54.3	22.9	*	*	71.4	*	60.0	40.0	*	80.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2014	41.4	30.0	*	*	44.3	*	65.7	55.7	*	78.6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2015	24.3	32.9	*	50.0	48.6	*	68.6	67.1	*	61.4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2016	30.0	18.6	*	50.0	71.4	*	80.0	65.7	*	72.9	54.3	*	*	*	*	*	*
2017	48.6	31.4	*	44.3	40.0	*	*	50.0	54.3	77.1	*	80.0	50.0	*	51.4	28.6	71.4
2018	52.9	25.7	*	55.7	75.7	*	87.1	78.6	*	87.1	*	82.9	*	*	61.4	*	62.9
2019	31.4	18.6	32.9	*	67.1	*	*	68.6	*	82.9	*	65.7	48.6	*	52.9	35.7	*
Average IBI	41.8	31.7	32.9	44.8	63.5	77.2	73.1	63.8	40.5	78.6	73.3	67.5	58.2	63.6	66.1	46.2	76.8

Table 4. General Ecological Health Designations for IBI Scores.

Rating	Score Range	Color Code
Very Good	80-100	Dark Green
Good	60-79.9	Green
Fair	40-59.9	Yellow
Poor	20-39.9	Orange
Very Poor	0-19.9	Red

To provide a spatial overview of the WY2019 IBI scores as well as historical averages, two maps were created, shown in Figures 7 and 8. Figure 7 shows mainstem stream segments and their ecological health designations based on WY2019 IBI scores. Figure 8 shows the same designations based on 1994 – 2019 averages. For stream segments containing multiple monitoring sites, the average IBI of all sites within that segment was used to determine the ecological health designation. This applies to both Figure 7 and Figure 8. Coon Creek (site code COO), while not directly draining to Morro Bay, has been used as a reference site to demonstrate the potential conditions in the Morro Bay watershed without human disturbance. This site was eliminated at a monitoring location in 2019. A new site will be established within the watershed to serve as a reference site.

Conclusion

Based on available resources and stream conditions, ten sites were monitored by the MBNEP in WY2019. Of these sites, five are regularly monitored. Middle Dairy Creek (DAM), Upper Dairy Creek (DAU), Lower Los Osos Creek (LVR), and Upper Los Osos Creek (CLK) sites did not have adequate flow during the recent drought to monitor. The other site, Above Chorro Reserve (ACR) was a new site established in 2019.

SoCal IBI scores were lower for all sites in WY2019 than in WY2018 but higher than scores seen in previous years. In WY2019, there were larger rainfall events later into the season than typical, which might have led to macroinvertebrates emerging later than predicted. An overview of WY2019 scores in comparison to WY2018 is as follows:

- The largest decrease in scores from WY2018 was seen on Lower Chorro Creek (TWB), which was 41% lower than in WY2018, and Middle Chorro Creek (CER), which was 39% lower.
- Four sites had a decrease of less than 15% from the WY2018 scores -- Upper Pennington Creek (UPN), 5%; San Bernardo Creek (MNO), 11%; Lower San Luisito Creek (LSL), 13%; and Upper Clark Valley (CLK), 14%.

Though scores were lower in WY2019 than in WY2018, sites were on par with the historic average and some sites were higher than the historic average:


- Upper Pennington (UPN) was slightly higher than average (5%) and improved from “Good” to “Very Good”.
- Two other sites also increased slightly from average but remained in the same category -- San Bernardo (MNO), 7%, and Lower San Luisito (LSL), 6%. Dairy Middle (DAM), was 16% lower than average, but remained in the same category.
- Four sites were lower than average and decreased into a lower category. The largest deviation from normal was seen at Middle Chorro, with a 42% decline from average.
- Three sites were 20 to 25% below average -- Lower Los Osos (LVR), Upper Los Osos (CLK), and Lower Chorro (TWB).

Due to drought conditions experienced in California from 2011 to 2017, IBI scores have tended to be lower than average. WY2019 appears to be one of the first years to show an upward trend in scores, despite the lasting effects of the drought.

Future Efforts

More conventional methods of water quality monitoring capture instantaneous conditions but do not always allow an assessment of the overall aquatic health of a water body. Biotic data such as bioassessment allows for a more complete picture of creek health. The CCRWQCB utilizes this data to assess impairment in Central Coast waterbodies. Due to the value of this data set to the MBNEP and its partners, we plan to continue this effort into the future.

Figure 8. Mainstem stream segments and their ecological health designations based on average IBI scores from 1994 to 2019.



References

Cal Poly San Luis Obispo. 2017. *Irrigation Training & Research Center*. Official Cal Poly Precipitation Data. California Polytechnic State University, ITRC. www.itrc.org/databases/precip/ Accessed 4 October 2017.

Ode, P.R., A.E., Fetscher, and L.B. Busse. 2016. *Standard Operating Procedures for the Collection of Field Data for Bioassessments of California Wadeable Streams: Benthic Macroinvertebrates, Algae, and Physical Habitat*. California State Water Resources Control Board Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) Bioassessment SOP 004

Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). *Bioassessment*. State Water Resources Control Board, 18 July 2017, www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/swamp/bioassessment/. Accessed 27 November 2017.